

# County of Santa Cruz

#### **HUMAN RESOURCES AGENCY**

CECILIA ESPINOLA, ADMINISTRATOR
1000 EMELINE ST., SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060

(408) 454-4130 OR 454-4045 FAX: (408) 454-4642

June 2, 1998 AGENDA: June 16, 1998

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS County of Santa Cruz 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, California

> REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT WITH ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORPORATION TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE OF THE WELFARE CASE DATA SYSTEM

Dear Members of the Board:

The Human Resources Agency has utilized the Welfare Case Data System (WCDS) since 1971 to automate its income maintenance programs and more recently to operate the GAIN Information System. Santa Cruz County is presently one of eighteen (18) counties contracting with Electronic Data Systems Corporation (EDS) to provide the software to operate this system. Upon the expiration of the current contract amendment on June 30, 1998, Nevada County will be terminating their participation in the agreement, reducing the number of counties to seventeen (17) for FY 98/99. The contract is administered by a WCDS Joint Committee consisting of a voting representative from each participating County. The purpose of this letter is to request your authorization to execute a contract amendment with EDS for services to be provided in FY 98/99, pending State and Federal approval, and contingent upon your Board's approval of the recommended FY 98/99 Human Resources Agency (HRA) budget.

The amendment provides for continuation of the existing Joint Maintenance Services on a year to year basis, at the option of the Counties, until all Counties have converted to the WCDS CalWIN System (formerly SAWS), unless otherwise terminated per existing Agreement terms.

Other changes reflected in the Amendment are the hourly billing rate and the number of hours of service. The billing rate for FY 98/99 has been increased to \$80 to assure continuing quality service and considered fair and competitive. The Joint Committee also approved a maximum of 64,700 hours of service to maintain, enhance, and update the Welfare Case Data system due to the anticipated need for mandated changes as a result of welfare reform. Also included in the contract amendment for FY 98/99 is a set amount of \$480,800 to provide computer services relating to back-ups, storage and operating environment maintenance, and administrative services in support of the Joint Committee, subcommittees and work groups. Of the total contract amount

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** 

Agenda: June 16, 1998

Request for Approval of Agreement with Electronic Data Systems Corporation to

Provide Maintenance of the Welfare Case Data System

of \$5,656,800, Santa Cruz County's share is \$166,376. HRA has included the necessary funds in the recommended 392100 budget for FY 98/99. The agreement is on file with the Clerk of the Board.

#### IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that your Board:

- 1. Approve the 1998/99 contract amendment with Electronic Data Systems Corporation to maintain and improve the Welfare Case Data System in the amount of \$166,376, effective July 1, 1998, and contingent upon your Board's approval of the recommended FY 98/99 HRA budget; and
- 2. Authorize the Human Resources Agency Administrator to execute the agreement on behalf of the County.

Very truly yours,

CECILIA ESPINOLA

Cecilia Espinola

Administrator

CE/RT/FB:EDS-9899.BOS

**RECOMMENDED:** 

Susan A. Mauriello

County Administrative Officer

cc: County Administrative Office

County Counsel

Auditor-Controller

Contractor

	AMENDMENT NINE TO THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN		
2	THE CALIFORNIA CASE DATA SYSTEM COUNTIES		
4	AND		
6	ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORPORATION		
8	FOR THE		
LO	MAINTENANCE OF A COMPUTERIZED WELFARE CASE DATA SYSTEM.		
L2	MAINTENANCE OF A COMPUTERIZED WELFARE CASE DATA SISTEM.		
14	This is an amendment ("Amendment 9") to the agreement, as amended (the "Agreement"), between the California Counties of		
16 18	Santa Clara, San Mateo, San Diego, Sonoma, Santa Cruz, Fresno, Tulare, Solano, City and County of San Francisco, San Luis Obispo, Contra Costa, Placer, Alameda, Yolo, Orange, Santa		
20	Barbara, and Sacramento, and Electronic Data Systems Corporation, which commenced on July 1, 1991 for an initial period of three years. Subsequent to the Agreement, a first amendment dated July		
22	1, 1992, a second amendment dated July 1, 1993, a third amendment dated July 1, 1993, a fourth amendment dated July 1, 1994, a		
24	fifth amendment dated July 1, 1995, a sixth amendment dated July 1, 1995, a seventh amendment dated July 1, 1996 and an eighth		
26 28	amendment dated July 1, 1997 have been executed. The Agreement, plus the eight amendments are collectively referred to as the "Agreement".		
30	Effective December 31, 1995 Marin County terminated its participation in the Agreement, reducing the total number of		
32	counties to eighteen (18), and the number of small counties to five (5).		
34	Effective July 1, 1998 Nevada County will terminate its		
36	participation in the Agreement, reducing the total number of counties to seventeen (17), and the number of small counties to		
38	four (4).		
40 42	WHEREAS, the Counties and EDS desire to extend the term of the Agreement and provide additional option years in the favor of the Counties;		
44 46	WHEREAS, the Counties and EDS desire to increase the number of hours authorized for Joint Services for the year beginning July 1, 1998;		
48	WHEREAS, the Counties and EDS desire to modify the existing		
50	billing rate;  WHEREAS, the Counties and EDS desire to update EDS' key		
52	personnel;		

NOW, THEREFORE, it is agreed as follows:

1. By this Amendment 9, Section 1.3 of the Agreement is hereby
modified to extend the term of the Agreement on an annual
basis, at Counties option, until systems jointly maintained
under this Agreement have been replaced in all Counties by
automation funded through the California Statewide Automated
Welfare System (SAWS) strategy.

10 2. Pursuant to Section 1.3 as amended, the Counties hereby exercise their annual option to extend the term of the 12 Agreement by one year so that this Agreement shall expire on June 30, 1999.

3. Section 14.1 of the Agreement is modified to read:

#### 14.1 Maximum Contractual Oblisation:

It is mutually understood that for contract year I, beginning July 1, 1991 and ending June 30, 1992, of the Agreement, Counties will appropriate \$2,958,600 to cover the costs under this Agreement. It is mutually understood that for contract year 2, beginning July 1, 1992 and ending June 30, 1993, of the Agreement, Counties will appropriate \$3,257,592 to cover the costs under this Agreement. It is mutually understood that for contract year 3, beginning July 1, 1993 and ending June 30, 1994, of the Agreement, Counties will appropriate \$3,257,592 to cover the costs under this ' Agreement. It is mutually understood that for contract option year 1, beginning July 1, 1994 and ending June 30, 1995, of the Agreement, Counties will appropriate \$3,257,592 to cover the costs under this Agreement. It is mutually understood that for contract option year 2, beginning July 1, 1995 and ending June 30, 1996, of the Agreement, Counties will appropriate \$4,814,842 to cover the costs under this Agreement. It is mutually understood that for contract option year 3, beginning July 1, 1996 and ending June 30, 1997, Counties will appropriate \$3,750,812 to cover the costs under this Agreement. It is mutually understood that for contract option year 4, beginning July 1, 1997 and ending June 30, 1998, Counties will appropriate \$4,424,320 to cover the costs under this Agreement. It is mutually understood that for the contract option year beginning July 1, 1998 and ending June 30, 1999, Counties will appropriate \$5,656,800 to cover the costs under this Agreement. Notwithstanding any other provisions of the Agreement, the parties agree that at such time that the amount which Counties pay or become obligated to pay EDS for services rendered pursuant to this Agreement totals the amount appropriated, Counties may terminate

14

16

18

20

22

2.4

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

48

50

52

the services of EDS pursuant to this Agreement, in which event Counties total obligation to EDS for all 2 services rendered pursuant to this Agreement shall not exceed the appropriated amount. In the alternative, at Counties' option, Counties may appropriate additional funds to pay for services pursuant to this Agreement, 6 in which event EDS will continue to be obligated to perform at the rate set forth in this Agreement until 8 such additional appropriation is exhausted (but not to exceed the term of this Agreement and any extensions 10 hereto). 12 The obligation of Counties under this Agreement shall be contingent upon the availability of State and 14 Federal funds for the reimbursement of Counties' In the event that such funding is expenditures. 16 terminated or reduced, Counties' Contract Officer may terminate this Agreement or reduce Counties' maximum 18 obligation set forth in this section. 20 Section 14.3.1 of the Agreement is modified to read: 4. 22 The existing Billing Rate for twelve (12) 14.3.1 months beginning July 1, 1998 shall be eighty dollars (\$80.00) per hour of service rendered 24 This rate shall include the 26 following services: 28 System Joint Maintenance; 1) 30 Technical Revisions to the System; 2) 32 Staff Training; 3) 34 4) 40,000 hours of service for the period July 1, 1991 through June 30, 1992; and, 36 44,800 hours of service for the period 5) 38 July 1, 1992 through June 30, 1993; and, 40 6) 44,800 hours of service for the period July 1, 1993 through June 30, 1994; and, 42 44,800 hours of service for the period 7) 44 July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1995; and, 46 69,800 hours of service for the period 8) July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996; and, 48 9) 52,800 hours of service for the period 50 July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997; and,

Prepared on May 18, 1998 3 2

52

2		10)		of service for through June 30		
4		11)		of service for through June 30		
6	5.	Section 11.1 namin	a kev personne	al who will serv	7 <b>0</b>	
8	J.	continuously throu modified to read:	ghout the term	of this Agreer	ment shall be	
10			anated Individ	dual <u>Positio</u>	nn	
12						
14		Maui	rbeth Ryden reen Finmand se Kempf	Account Systems	Executive Manager Engineer	
16		Lois	s Johnson	Manage: Welfare	r e Analyst	
18			Norwood Nakano		e Analyst tems Engineer	
20	6.	Except as noted ab		_	_	
22	0.	shall remain uncha		. provisions or	the Agreement	
24						
26		Amendment 9 to be		parties hereto have caused this ed by their respective authorized		
28		representatives.				
30			77	an's Dala Garle	<b>G</b>	
32			Electro	onic Data Syste	ms Corporation	
34		- May 100	1	///		
36	Date	d: 5/20/98	By Macro	beth Ryden		
38				President e and Local Gov	rernment	
40						
42						
44	ATTE	ST:	COUNTY	OF SANTA CLARA	1	
46						
48			By	rperson, Board	of Company's	
50		_		rperson, Board	or supervisors	
52	Date	d:			_	

2	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
6		By
10 12	Dated:	
14		
16	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
18 20		By Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
22	Dated:	
<ul><li>24</li><li>26</li></ul>		
28	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF SONOMA
32		D
34		ByChairperson, Board of Supervisors
36 38	Dated:	<u>-</u>
40		
42	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF TULARE
44 46		
48		ByChairperson, Board of Supervisors
50	Dated:	-
52		

ATTEST:	COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ
Dated:	ByAdministrator Human Resources Agency APPROVED AS TO FORM:
	Jane M. Scott County Counsel
ATTEST:	COUNTY OF FRESNO
Dated:	ByChairperson, Board of Supervisors
ATTEST:	COUNTY OF SOLANO
Dated:	BYChairperson, Board of Supervisors
ATTEST:	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Dated:	By President, Social Services Commission

2	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO
4		
6		BY Chairperson, Board of Supervisors
8		chairperson, Board of Supervisors
10	Dated:	BY
12		Deputy County Counsel
14		Datadi
16		Dated:
18		
20	A THUTTE CITE •	COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA
22.	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA
24		_
26		ByChairperson, Board of Supervisors
28	Dated:	
30		
32	A TITLE OTT •	COUNTY OF PLACER
34	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF PHACER
36		ByChairperson, Board of Supervisors
38	D. I 1.	Charryerson, Board or Supervisors
40	Dated:	
42		
44	A TITLE CITE	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
46	ATTEST:	COUNTI OF ADAMEDA
48		D
50		ByChairperson, Board of Supervisors
52	Dated:	

2		
4	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF YOLO
6		P.V.
8		Chairperson, Board of Supervisors
10 <b>12</b>	Dated:	
14		
16		
18 20	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF ORANGE
22		By
24		By Chairperson, Board of Supervisors
26	Dated:	
28		
30 32	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
34 36		B <u>v</u> Chairperson, Board of Supervisors
38	Dated:	
40		
42		COLINERY OF CACDAMENTO
44	ATTEST:	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
46		Dv
48		By Chairperson, Board of Supervisors
50	Dated:	
52		

## COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

### REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT

①: Board of Supervisors  County Administrative Officer  County Counsel  Auditor-Controller	Human Resources Agency (Dep
he Board of Supervisors is hereby requested to approve the	attached agreement and authorize the execution of the same.
. Said agreement is between the <u>County of</u>	Santa Cruz Human ResourcesAgency (Agenc
and, Electronic Data Systems Corporation	on, P.O. Box 1890 Rancho Cordova, CA 95741 (Name & Addres
. The agreement will provide <u>maintenance</u> , enhance	ements, updates to Welfare Case Data System
and GATN Information System	
. The agreement is needed. to provide payme	ent for services as 1 of 17 counties
. Period of the agreement is from	to <u>6/30/99</u>
. Anticipated cost is \$	(Fixed amount; Monthly rate; Not to excee
. Remarks: Amendment #9, contract period 7/1	/91 - 6/30/99. Budget agreement 7/1/98-6/30/99
_W-9 on file; contact R Trenowski x 4047	1
. Appropriations are budgeted in392100	(Index#)3665(Subobje
	UFFICIENT, ATTACH COMPLETED FORM AUD-74
ore available and will be encumbered.	Contract No. 80071 Date 6/3/93
WILD	GARY AK NUTSON, Auditor-Controller  By Judge Carte Depu
PENDING 98/27 Budget DAMOVAL	By Depu
·	Board of Supervisors approve the agreement and authorize the cute the same on behalf of the Human Resources Agency
	nand.
Remarks: (Analyst)	By Sounty Administrative Officer  Date 3/6
Agreement approved as to form. Date	·
'To Orig. Dept. if rejected.  said Board of Supervisor  in the minutes of said E	) ss ) ex-officio Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz, nereby certify that the foregoing request for approval of agreement was approved by ors as recommended by the County Administrative Officer by an order duly content of County Administrative Officer  19 By Deputy Clerk