OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL



COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

GOVERNMENT CENTER (408)454-2040 FAX(408)454-2115

DWIGHT L. HERR COUNTY COUNSEL

DEBORAH STEEN SAMUEL TORRES, JR. CHIEF ASSISTANTS

GOVERNMENT TORT CLAIM

HARRY A. CBERHELMANIII MARIE COSTA JANE M. SCOTT RAHN GARCIA TAMYRA CODE PAMELA FYFE **ELLEN LEWIS** KIM BASKETT LEE GULLIVER

RECOMMENDED ACTION DANA McRAE **ASSISTANTS** June 23, 1998 Agenda

To: The Board of Supervisors	
Re: Claim of Robert & Lisa Martone, No. 7	98-152A
Original Document and associated materials are or	file at the Clerk to the Board of Supervisors.
In regard to the above-referenced claim, this is to raction:	ecommend that the Board take the following
1.x Oeny the claim of Robert & Lisa Ma Counsel.	artone, No. 798-152A and refer to County
Deny_the applicacron to file a late claim on and refer to County Counsel.	behalf of
Grant3the application to file a lace claim of and refer to County Counsel.	in sehalf of
4. Approve the claim of and County Counsel.	in the reject it as to the balance. If any, and refer to
<u>5 . Reject</u> the claim of Insufficiently filed and refer to County Cou	
	RISK MANAGEMENT
CC: John Fantham, Director Department of Public Works	By laret McKinler
	COUNTY COUNSEL
LTR9.WPT	By therewo
DED 5107 Foy 4 0 7	

748-1524 5 26-97 48

TO: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ ATTN: Clerk of the Board

aimant's Name: <u>Robe</u> Address: 22	70! Ocean Street, San			* (A) ((U))
		14-1-1	0.212	CAN OF THE ROAD
Address 22	,	Martone	150	THE SHAPE
	/	r.		र्थेहा भाडार
	anta (rvz	95062		- DI EL C
Phone No : 476				
O. Box to which notices are	·			
courrence: <u>Fallen</u> ±				<u> </u>
ate: 1-21-981	Place. <u>1256 War</u>	ver st e 23	14 flarper	<u>}+</u>
ircumstances of occurrence	or transaction giving ri	se to claim: <u>Sec</u>	memo	
	_	_		
iame(s) of public employee(e or loss, if known:		
			C	
mount claimed nov			S	
mount claimed nov			.s	· ·
stimated amount of future	e loss. if known	1 <i>1</i>) i	ss ral s <u>Pina</u>	ing
	e loss. if known	. 1	,	ing re her
stimated amount of future	e loss. if known	luate mainte	,	ing re her
stimated amount of future	e loss. if known	luate mainte	,	
Stimated amount of future Basis for above computations The amount claimed is over \$	s: Cost b eval	luate mainte	,	Ling re Trees Superio
Basis for above computations The amount claimed is over \$ Unit:	e loss, if known s: Cost b eval 10.000, indicate the company court E: Municipal Court	tour of jurisdiction:	in or remo	Superio

May 26, 1998

The County of Santa Cruz Risk Management 701 Ocean St. Santa Cruz, Ca. 95060

To whom it may concern:

Our home was built in the early 1960's. Sometime prior to that the former homeowners to our immediate east put in a row of cypress trees to mark a property line. The county had claimed a prescriptive easement for rainwater runoff between these two parcels. The water ran in this ditch growing wider and deeper over the years causing bank and root erosion. When the Martones' purchased the property in February of 1988 it became apparent to us that the water course had not been maintained on a regular basis.

I made inquiries to the drainage department at the Brommer yard and there, developed a rapport with then foreman Vance Wagner and his crew. Downstream from our property (south) the ditch was clogged with vines, limbs and debris. Over the next several years the drainage crews attempted to maintain and improve this drainage course with regular maintenance and several improvements.

In or around the Fall of 1988 I filed a claim through the County of Santa Cruz Risk Management Agency for the removal of three of these cypress that were threatening our home. The base of these trees were directly in the flow of the water and were leaning over our house making their' fall to the ground from erosion a straight line through our bedrooms. The county paid and the trees were removed by a private contractor.(county records)

In March of 1989 I went before the planning department to have this prescriptive easement more clearly defined. It was evident that after two winters I could see what was going to happen eventually. I had lost a fence and was beginning to see my deck fall victim to this ever widening drainage ditch. I felt that with development in the immediate future(Capitola Rd. Woodrose Estates) this drainage course would be significantly effected by an increase of water volume and velocity. The greatest impact being on the trees and our property.

The county drainage crew spent a week installing a concrete lined rip-rap to protect the sides and halt the erosion, but they promised to look at a more permanent solution for the future. All during this time the erosion had caused more exposure of the tree roots and undermining of their base.

In October of 1992 as a result of an off site requirement by a **Capitola** Rd. development (**Woodrose**) a thirty six inch pipe was installed in the ditch. This along with the Chanticleer Ave. improvements greatly reduced the flow of water through this site. However, during construction a backhoe was used to create fall in this course and then the base of the trees were covered up to four feet deep with **dirt.(** see diagram)

On January 21, 1998 during a storm, the tree that we figured to be the sturdiest was uprooted and blown over into our neighbors yard where it lies today. Upon inspection by a hired tree service owner (Kevin Johnson) he pointed out significant damage from termites and rot at the base of these trees. I hired Mr. Johnson in April to thin out and remove dead branches to significantly lighten the trees. This is when we

decided that the problem was more serious than we originally thought.

In April of this year I began a telephone search through the county system to try to find a person or department who would help us with this problem. I have spoken with Russ Albrecht, Don Hill. Supervisor Beautt's office, John Swinson, Terry Reynolds Suzanne Smith, and finally Janet McKinley with risk management.

In summary, We collectively have a potential problem with the trees along our property, the adjacent Oliker property, and in a dedicated County easement. There may be an issue as to how the trees were buried during the pipe installation (see culvert design document). There was a precedence set in 1989 when a past claim was paid for these trees (see county records). The cypress may prove to be salvageable however, both property owners would agree to replant this strip with safer and less problematic trees to mitigate the loss of the cypress should a certified arborist deem these trees unsafe. We do live outside of the coastal zone which would eliminate the need for a significant tree removal permit (Suzanne Smith).

The first step would be to acknowledge this problem with all parties through a meeting here. A certified arborist should be hired to give a complete report on the health and liability of these Monterey Cypress.

Robert Martone 2256 Harper St.

Martone

Santa Cruz, Ca 95062

476-6243

Johnson's Tree Service I-888-691 -1257