

GOVERNMENTAL CENTER



COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

701 OCEAN STREET - Room 500 SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA 95060 (408) 454-2323

Agenda: March 7, 2000

February 10, 2000

Board of Supervisors 701 Ocean Street, Room 500 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Re: Scheduling a public hearing on an appeal by Attorney William Parkin of Application No. 99-0288

Members of the Board:

Pursuant to Section 18.10.340 of the Santa Cruz County Code, Attorney William Parkin has filed an appeal on behalf of his client James Gerard of the Planning Commission's approval of Application No. 99-0288; APN: 040-012-12. The application is a proposal to create four single-family residential parcels, and one remainder parcel. It requires a Minor Land Division and a Residential Development Permit to allow parcel averaging. The property is located on the southwest corner of Fairway Drive and Coyote Canyon. The owner of the property is Alan Goldstein.

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that the Board schedule a public hearing for Tuesday, March 21, 2000 at 1:30 p.m. or thereafter to consider this matter.

Sincerely,

Susan M. Rozario Chief Deputy Clerk

RECOMMENDED:

Susan A. Mauriello

County Administrative Officer

cc: Planning Department Attorney William Parkin

0072

Jonathan Wittwer William P. Parkin Christiane Sinclair

WITTWER & PARKIN, LLP

147 SOUTH RIVER STREET, SUITE 221
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA 95060
TELEPHONE: (831) 429-4055
FACSIMILE: (831) 429-4067
E-MAIL: office@wittwerparkin.com

February 7, 2000



HAND DELIVERED

Board of Supervisors County of Santa Cruz 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060

> Re: Notice of Appeal of Planning Commission Decision Application No. 99-0288; APN No. 040-012-12; Owner, Alan Goldstein; Applicant, Michael Zelver

Dear Board of Supervisors:

This office submits the following Notice of Appeal on behalf of James Gerard, who is also a member of Upper Monterey Bay Heights Neighbors, appealing the decision of the Planning Commission to the Board of Supervisors concerning the approval of the above-referenced project. The Planning Commission approved the above referenced project on Wednesday, January 26, 2000. Thus, this appeal is timely filed pursuant to County Code.

The approval of the above-referenced project violates a number of provisions of the County Code and state law, which include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 1) Approval of the subdivision as a minor land division, instead of as a subdivision of five parcels;
- 2) Violation of the California Environmental Quality Act by failing to perform adequate environmental review pertaining to, but not limited to, an adequate evaluation of biotic impacts and adequacy of analysis concerning septic suitability;
- 3) Failure to properly evaluate and score the site according to the Rural Density Matrix and improper density averaging, and therefore, allowing a division of land in excess of what is permissible;
- 4) Failure to conduct an adequate Design Review pursuant to Chapter 13.11.
- 5) Failure to comply with minimum site frontage and width requirements pursuant to the County Code; and,
- 6) Failure to comply with all provisions of the General Plan and the County Code.

Board of Supervisors

Re: Appeal of Planning Commission Decision

February 7, 2000

Page 2

For the foregoing reasons, the Planning Commission abused its discretion by failing to proceed in a manner required by law.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

0073

M m & -William P. Parkin

cc: James Gerard

DATE 2/07/00 INITIAL