

County of Santa Cruz

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

701 OCEAN STREET, SUITE 500, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4069 (831) 454-2200 FAX: (831) 454-3262 TDD: (831) 454-2123

JANET K. BEAUTZ
FIRST DISTRICT

ELLEN PIRIE SECOND DISTRICT MARDI WORMHOUDT
THIRD DISTRICT

TONY CAMPOS
FOURTH DISTRICT

JEFF ALMQUIST
FIFTH DISTRICT

AGENDA: 4/24/01

April 17, 2001

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS County of Santa Cruz 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: SENATE BILL 1069 - PLASTICS RECYCLING

Dear Members of the Board:

Californians Against Waste has requested that the Board indicate our support for the passage of Senate Bill 1069, as introduced by Senate Bill 1069, as amended on April 16, Senator Wes Chesbro. 2001, would require any manufacturer of a product sold or offered for sale in a rigid plastic packaging container to pay a plastic pollution prevention fee to the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) for every plastic container of a resin type that fails to equal or exceed a recycling rate of 50 percent or more on or before January 1, 2005. SB 1069 would further require the CIWMB to set the plastic pollution prevention fee equal to the difference between the scrap value and the cost of recycling for each resin type, except as specified. generated from this fee would be expended to promote the recycling of rigid plastic packaging containers including, but not limited to, payments to recyclers and local governments to offset the cost of recycling rigid plastic packaging containers.

Santa Cruz County has consistently supported measures which would require manufacturers to provide consumers with packaging materials which can be recycled and which contain post-recycled materials. Further, every effort must be made to achieve the objectives of the State's solid waste reduction plan, AB 939, which mandates that all landfills in California reduce waste disposal by 50 percent. Clearly, requiring this same 50 percent recycling goal for plastic containers is appropriate and would assist the County in our efforts to divert 50 percent of waste from the landfill.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS April 17, 2001 Page 2

Accordingly, I recommend that the Board of Supervisors take the following actions:

- 1. Adopt the attached resolution supporting the passage of Senate Bill 1069.
- 2. Direct the Clerk of the Board to distribute the resolution as indicated.
- 3. Direct the County Administrative Officer to place the Bill in our legislative tracking system.

Sincerely,

TONY CAMPOS, Chairman Board of Supervisors

TC:ted Attachments

Cc: Californians Against Waste
 Senator Wes Chesbro
 County Administrative Office
 Public Works Department

2511A6

0197

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RESOLUTION NO.

On the motion of Supervisor duly seconded by Supervisor the following resolution is adopted

RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE PASSAGE OF SENATE BILL 1069

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 1069 has been introduced into the California State Senate by Senator Wes Chesbro; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 1069, as amended on April 16, 2001, would require any manufacturer of a product sold or offered for sale in a rigid plastic packaging container to pay a plastic pollution prevention fee to the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) for every plastic container of a resin type that fails to equal or exceed a recycling rate of 50 percent or more on or before January 1, 2005; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 1069 would further require the CIWMB to set the plastic pollution prevention fee equal to the difference between the scrap value and the cost of recycling for each resin type, except as specified; and

WHEREAS, funds generated from this fee would be expended to promote the recycling of rigid plastic packaging containers including, but not limited to, payments to recyclers and local governments to offset the cost of recycling rigid plastic packaging containers; and

WHEREAS, Santa Cruz County has consistently supported measures which would require manufacturers to provide consumers with packaging materials which can be recycled and which contain post-recycled materials; and

WHEREAS, every effort must be made to achieve the objectives of the State's solid waste reduction plan, AB 939, which mandates that all landfills in California reduce waste disposal by 50 percent; and

WHEREAS, requiring this same 50 percent recycling goal for plastic containers is appropriate and would assist the County in our efforts to divert 50 percent of waste from the landfill.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors hereby supports the passage of Senate Bill 1069.

RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE PASSAGE OF SENATE BILL 1069 Page 2

	ED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County Cruz, State of California, this day of, 2001, by the following vote:
NOES:	SUPERVISORS SUPERVISORS SUPERVISORS
	TONY CAMPOS, Chairman Board of Supervisors
ATTEST: Cl	erk of said Board
Jul	as to form: While unsel
DISTRIBUT	ION: Senator Wes Chesbro Senator Bruce McPherson Assembly Member Fred Keeley Assembly Member Simon Salinas Californians Against Waste Public Works Department County Counsel

2511A6

CAW LEGISLATIVE ACTION ALERT: 0199 support SB 1069 (Chesbro) Plastics Recycling

Actions Needed:

- > CAW's Plastics Recycling Legislation has been amended and will be heard in the Senate Environmental Quality Committee on Monday, April 16.
- > support Letters are urgently needed and should be faxed to the committee by Thursday, April 12.
- > Witnesses are needed to testify at the committee hearing scheduled for 1:30 pm on Monday, April 16.

Summary of SB 1069 (Chesbro) Plastics Recycling Legislation:

- 1) SB 1069 would establish a 50% recycling goal for all plastic containers effective January 1, 2005.
- 2) The bill would establish a Plastic Pollution Prevention Fee on any plastic container (resin) type that fails to achieve the 50% recycling goal.
- 3) The Fee would be equal to the net cost of recycling the plastic container type.
- 4) Fee revenue would be used to promote plastic recycling, including providing payments to local agencies and recyclers to help offset the cost of plastic recycling.

Summary of California's Plastic Problem:

- > Lightweight, cheap and flexible, plastic is one of the fastest growing materials in California's waste stream, and one of the most costly to manage.
- > Californian's annually dispose of more than 3 million tons of plastic waste.
- > The cleanup and disposal of plastic waste in California costs local agencies and ratepayers and estimated \$340 to \$500 million annually.
- > Plastic recycling is failing in California and across the country. Since 1995, plastic container recycling rates have actually declined, from 24.6% to 17.9% in 1999.
- Markets for recycled plastic do not support the cost of plastic collection and processing. Unlike the paper, glass and metals industries, plastic resin producers and container manufacturers have generally failed to invest in the development of infrastructure and markets for plastic recycling.
- > Local curbside programs and other recycling efforts lose money on plastic recycling due to inconsistent markets, multiple and changing resin types, high handling costs, and low scrap values.
- > California's existing plastic recycling law exempts food, drug, personal care and cosmetic packaging-the majority of the container market,

What You Can do: FAX SB 1069 Letters to the Senate EQ Committee by Thursday

Senator Byron Sher, Chair Senate Environmental Quality Committee State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814 FAX (916) 323-4529

No. 1069

Introduced by Senator Chesbro

February 23, 2001

An act to amend Section 40200 of add Section 42305 to, and to add Article 6 (commencing with Section 42346) to Chapter 5.5 of Part 3 of Division 30 of, the Public Resources Code, relating to solid waste.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1069, as amended, Chesbro. Solid waste-facilities Plastic pollution prevention fee.

The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 requires, except as specified, every rigidplastic packaging container, as defined, that is sold or offeredfor sale in the state to meet, on average, at least one of 7 specified criteria, including being made from 2.5% postconsumer material or having a specified recycling rate of 25%, based on annual reports published by the California Integrated Waste Management Board. Certain rigid plastic packaging containers are exempted from meeting those criteria, including those containers that contain food or cosmetics.

This bill would require any manufacturer of a product sold or offered for sale in a rigid plastic packaging container to pay a plastic pollution prevention fee to the board for every plastic container of a resin type that fails to equal or exceed a recycling rate of SO% or more on or before January 1, 2005. The bill would require the board set the plastic pollution prevention fee equal to the difference between the scrap value and the cost of recycling for each resin type, except as specified.

The bill would require the board to deposit the fees in the Rigid Plastic Pollution Subaccount, which the bill would create in the existing

SB 1069 0201

Rigid Container Account in the Integrated Waste Management Fund in the State Treasury. The bill would authorize the board to expend the moneys deposited in the Rigid Plastic Pollution Subaccount to promote the recycling of rigid plastic packaging containers, including but not limited to, payments to recyclers and local governments to offset the cost of recycling rigid plastic packaging containers.

The bill would authorize the board to adopt regulations to implement the bill.

Existing law, for purposes of the Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989, excludes certain facilities from the definition of transfer or processing station, including a facility whose principal function is to receive, store, separate, convert, or otherwise process wastes which have already been separated for reuse and are not intended for disposal.

This bill would limit that exclusion to facilities whose principal function is to receive, store, separate, convert, or otherwise process nonputrescible wastes which have already been separated for reuse and are not intended for disposal.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1: Section 40200 of the Public Resources Code is 2 SECTION I. The Legislature finds and declares all of the 3 following..

- (a) A decade ago, in order to reduce waste and conserve 5 natural resources, the Legislature committed to cutting solid waste disposal in this state in half 6
 - (b) While this effort remains incomplete, the state, in partnership with local government, the private sector and the public, has developed a comprehensive recycling infrastructure, and achieved one of the nation's highest waste diversion rates.
 - (c) Dozens of jurisdictions, along with several categories of materials, have already equaled or exceeded 50percent recycling.
- (dj Amidst this recycling success, one category of 13 14 materials--plastic packaging--stands out as a recycling failure.
- While plastic packaging remains one of the fastest growing 15
- components of California's waste stream, recycling rates for
- plastic packaging lag behind those for virtually every other
- 18 packaging material.

1

10

11 12

(e) According to reports from the California Integrated Waste Management Board, recycling rates for rigid plastic packaging containers have actually been in decline, dropping from nearly 25 percent in 1995, to 23 percent in 1996, 22 percent in 1997, 19 percent in 1998, and less than 18 percent in 1999.

(f) According to a report from the California Integrated Waste Management Board, California disposed of more than 2 million tons of plastic packaging in 1999. The total cost to local governments and ratepayers of collecting and disposing of this plastic waste is estimated at three hundred forty million dollars (\$340,000,000) annually.

SEC. 2. Section 42305 is added to the Public Resources Code, to read:

42305. (a) It is the intent of the Legislature that this state pursue a path of shared responsibility between product producers, container manufactures, local governments, and private recyclers to ensure that, by 2005 and beyond, at least 50 percent of rigid plastic packaging containers are diverted from this state 's solid waste and litter stream and recycled into new products.

(b) It is the intent of the Legislature that manufacturers of products sold in rigid plastic packaging containers shall be responsible-for offsetting costs associated with the recycling of those plastic containers.

(c) It is the intent of the Legislature that operators of curbside recycling programs in this state accept for recycling any rigid plastic packaging container for which they receive revenue from scrap value, product manufacturers, or the state, the total of which is equal to or greater than the cost of recycling.

SEC. 3. Article 6 (commencing with Section 42346) is added to Chapter 5.5 of Part 3 of Division 30 of the Public Resources Code, to read.

Article 6. Plastic Pollution Prevention Fee

42346. (a) On and after January I, 2006, any manufacturer of a product sold or offered for sale in a rigid plastic packaging container shall pay a plastic pollution prevention fee to the board, as determined pursuant to subdivision (b), for every plastic container of a resin type that fails to equal or exceed a recycling rate of 50 percent or more on or before January I, 2005.

SB 1069 - 4 -

4 5

(b) (1) The board shall set the amount of the plastic pollution prevention fee equal to the difference between the average cost of recycling and the average scrap value for each resin type as determined by the board.

- (2) For plastic containers that are not recyclable, the board shall set the plastic pollution prevention fee in an amount equal to the average cost of collection and disposal of the container as solid waste.
- 42347. The board shall deposit all fees collected pursuant to this article in the Rigid Plastic Pollution Subaccount, which is hereby created in the Rigid Container Account established pursuant to subdivision (e) of Section 42322. The board may expend the moneys deposited in the Rigid Plastic Pollution Subaccount to promote the recycling of rigid plastic packaging containers, including, but not limited to, payments to recyclers and local governments to offset the cost of recycling rigid plastic packaging containers.
- (d) The board may adopt regulations to implement this article. amended to read:
- 40200. (a) "Transfer or processing station" or "station" includes those facilities utilized to receive solid wastes, temporarily store, separate, convert, or otherwise process the materials in the solid wastes, or to transfer the solid wastes directly from smaller to larger vehicles for transport, and those facilities utilized for transformation.
- (b) "Transfer or processing station" or "station" does not include any of the following:
- (1) A facility, whose principal function is to receive, store, separate, convert, or otherwise process in accordance with state minimum standards, manure.
- (2) A facility, whose principal function is to receive, store, convert, or otherwise process nonputrescible wastes which have already been separated for reuse and are not intended for disposal.
- (3) The operations premises of a duly licensed solid waste handling operator who receives, stores, transfers, or otherwise processes wastes as an activity incidental to the conduct of a refuse collection and disposal business in accordance with regulations adopted pursuant to Section 43309.

O