

Annie Murphy

From: Annie Murphy
Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2023 4:23 PM
To: Barry Pearlman; Neal Woods III; (lyndaphillips@netscape.com)
Cc: (verda@cruzio.com)
Subject: Written Communication for your packet (Agenda item 12)- California Department of Transportation

Hello Commissioners,

Please see the correspondence below from the California Department of Transportation regarding your earlier review of proposed alterations to the Boulder Creek Bridge, and add this item to your packet under agenda item 12, written communications. The DOT will not be altering the bridge, and elected instead to proceed with the scour repair option preferred by your Commission.

Look forward to seeing you all tomorrow morning.

Annie

From: Leckie, Daniel@DOT <Daniel.Leckie@dot.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 3, 2023 8:59 AM
To: Annie Murphy <Annie.Murphy@santacruzcounty.us>
Subject: FW: 05-1P240 Boulder Creek Bridge - Scour Mitigation - Request for Specialist Studies

******CAUTION:**This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email.****

Hi Annie,

I just wanted to share some good news I just received from the environmental coordinator on the Boulder Creek Bridge Scour Mitigation project (1P240). It appears after additional analysis the project team has selected alternative 1 (scour repair) and will not be removing the Boulder Creek Bridge or pursuing railing upgrades at this time. I know some members at the HRC had preferred this alternative as they felt these bridge types are becoming less common and that the bridge still contributed to the historic character of the area despite being an ineligible resource for National Register designation.

Please feel free to share this news with the HRC members and reach out if you have any questions about the project moving forward.

Best,

Daniel T. Leckie

Principal Architectural Historian

Trans District 5 | San Luis Obispo

Office Phone: (805) 458-6618

Annie Murphy

From: Annie Murphy
t: Thursday, January 19, 2023 4:11 PM
to: Barry Pearlman; Neal Woods III
Cc: Stephanie Hansen
Subject: Subcommittee Letter - Agenda item 9B

Hello Neal and Barry,

Thank you for drafting the letter to the Board of Supervisors regarding estimated costs to participate in various community events. I have shared the letter with Stephanie Hansen, so that she could also provide input in her role as an Assistant Director of the Community Development and Infrastructure Department. Stephanie and I thought it would be helpful to provide some context regarding the budgeting process, and the County's concerns regarding a potential recession, prior to you submitting the letter to the Board for consideration and before the meeting on Monday.

I so appreciate the Commission taking a proactive role in community education and engagement. This is so important to historic preservation in our community!

However, given the County's concerns regarding a looming recession and other budgetary constraints, County departments have been informed that proposed budgets must remain at or below the amount allocated to the department in the current fiscal year. Given these constraints, the CDI department will need to prioritize ensuring adequate staffing and providing essential services to the public, and does not have much in the way of funds available to allocate to new expenses. If additional money were allocated to support historic preservation outreach example, money would need to be taken away from other existing budgetary needs and expenses. However, considering the previous expenses the Commission incurred for the History Fair, the proposed CDI budget does include a new line item of \$250 for incidental expenses incurred by the Commission on the Environment and the HRC for the upcoming fiscal year, to be shared between the two commissions. The County also is proposing \$1,000 for Commission training in the upcoming fiscal year. This works out to the same amount per commissioner (\$200) as is in the current fiscal year budget (\$1,200), as staff training in the upcoming fiscal year budget would not come out of the \$1,200 allocated for Commission training.

The CDI budget is already quite lean. Just to cite one example, to cut down on janitorial expenses each staff person is responsible for cleaning and vacuuming their own cubicles and emptying their own garbage!

Although it may not be possible in the upcoming fiscal year to allocate the amount you requested in the CDI budget, I will be happy to work with you on locating any equipment and supplies that may already be owned by the County to support the public outreach efforts of the Commission. We can work together and get creative in finding ways to support community outreach. The county also has duplicating services available to print brochures, and has staff who can translate materials into Spanish.

I hope this additional context is useful. I look forward to the discussion on Monday, and am happy to chat with you before the meeting if it would be helpful.

Thank you both for your work on the Commission fostering historic preservation and engaging with our Community!

Sincerely,