

# **County of Santa Cruz**

#### Department of Community Development and Infrastructure

Planning (831) 454-2580 sccoplanning.com

701 Ocean Street, Fourth Floor, Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Public Works (831) 454-2160 dpw.co.santa-cruz.ca.us

Matt Machado - Deputy CAO / Director

August 30, 2024

Agenda: September 11, 2024

Planning Commission County of Santa Cruz 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060

#### SUBJECT: Public hearing on recommendation to the Board of Supervisors regarding the proposed Year 2025 Growth Goal

#### **RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**

- 1) Conduct a public hearing on the proposed Year 2025 Growth Goal;
- 2) Adopt the attached Resolution (Exhibit A) recommending a Year 2025 Growth Goal of 0.75% for the unincorporated portion of Santa Cruz County; and
- 3) Recommend the filing of the CEQA Notice of Exemption (Exhibit B) with the Clerk of the Board.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Each year, the County is required to establish an annual growth goal for the upcoming calendar year. Staff is required to prepare an annual growth goal report, which includes information on population trends, a status report on the current year's allocations, potential impacts of growth, and a recommendation for the following year's growth goal.

The Year 2025 Growth Goal Report recommends a 0.75% growth rate, which translates to 373 market rate residential building permit allocations. Including the 2024 unused carryover allocations of 206 allocations, an estimated total of 579 allocations would be available in 2025. Following the Planning Commission's recommendation, the Growth Goal Report is scheduled to be brought to the Board for final action on October 8, 2024.

#### BACKGROUND

Santa Cruz County Code (SCCC) Chapters 12.02, 17.01, and 17.04 set out a growth management system that implements Measure J, the Growth Management Referendum approved by County voters in 1978. The growth management system requires the Board of Supervisors to establish an annual population growth goal of an amount that represents Santa Cruz County's fair share of statewide population growth. Each year a growth rate is set and then converted into a maximum number of "allocations" to be granted to market rate residential building permits in the unincorporated area for the coming year. The Year 2025 Growth Goal Report is included as Exhibit C.

#### ANALYSIS

#### Population Trends

According to the U.S. Census and the State Department of Finance (DOF) population estimates, the unincorporated area had a growth rate of -0.6% in 2023, which shows small growth from the -0.7% growth rate in 2022 (see Table 1 in Growth Goal Report).

The state population growth rate in 2023 was 0.2%, an increase from -0.1% in 2022. These rates reflect the recent changes in state population estimates since the COVID-19 pandemic began and mark a major shift in the state's historical trend of continued population growth.

DOF population estimates for cities and unincorporated counties are calculated using the Housing Unit Method (HUM). This means that changes in housing unit data, including new construction, annexations, and demolitions, reported by local jurisdictions play a significant role in determining annual population estimates. The DOF also reported that the recent increase in California's population last year was due to three main factors: foreign legal immigration continued to rebound from the pandemic, greater domestic inmigration and slowed domestic out-migration, and a natural increase from the net result of births minus deaths. The DOF expects that these factors will continue to contribute to a slower but positive growth rate for California in the near future.

#### Potential Impacts from Population Growth

The County's growth management system (Measure J) was instituted in part to address resource and public services impacts of growth in the County. The most significant concerns regarding resources and infrastructure are related to the high levels of usage and congestion on the county's transportation infrastructure and potential water supply shortfall. However, as discussed in Section IV of the attached Growth Goal Report, water agencies countywide are addressing this concern, including the water districts and groundwater agencies for the Santa Margarita, Mid-County, and Pajaro Valley groundwater basins.

Urban service impacts of existing and new development are also being addressed by

several County initiatives to plan, finance, and construct capital improvements, particularly transportation improvements. Traffic congestion is a significant concern facing residents throughout the County and a number of efforts are underway to address this issue, including regional highway plans and improvements, a major Soquel Drive improvement project, implementation of the County Active Transportation Plan, as well as analysis on potential future improvements as part of the Sustainability Policy and Regulatory Update (Sustainability Update), the County's comprehensive update to the General Plan and County Code effective in March 2024.

The County Board of Supervisors adopted the 6th Cycle Housing Element, covering the years 2023-2031, on November 14, 2023, and it was certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) in April 2024. The State mandated Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) for the eight-year planning period is 4,634 units across all income categories for in unincorporated Santa Cruz County. The 2023 Housing Element has the benefit of many new adopted policies and programs that support more robust housing development. Other projects, such as the Sustainability Update (2024); the Public Facility, School Employee, and Farmworker Housing Ordinance (2018); the Permanent Room Housing Ordinance (2020); the Tiny Homes on Wheels Ordinance (2022); and the County's Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) regulations implement policies in the 2023 Housing Element.

#### Affordable Housing Goals

SCCC section 17.01.030 requires at least 15% of new housing units constructed each year to be affordable to moderate income and below moderate-income households. Over the 43 years since Measure J was first implemented, 13% of all new residential developments have been constructed as affordable housing. As can be seen in Table 10 of the Growth Goal Report, approximately 79% of new residential building permits, including ADUs, approved so far this year are affordable, and more affordable units are expected as approval of housing projects continue.

In order to support affordable housing goals, the County continues to exempt affordable housing units and ADUs from the need to obtain permit allocations under the County's growth management regulations. The development of affordable units would, therefore, not be affected by the adopted growth goal.

#### Density Bonus Report

Since the density bonus code update in late 2018, a total of 10 density bonus projects have been approved: four in 2019, two in 2020, one in 2021, one in 2022, one in 2023 and one so far in 2024. These 10 projects provide a total of 205 units, including 119 affordable units and 56 density bonus units, as shown on Table 13 of the Growth Goal Report.

A total of 983 units have been proposed in density bonus projects since 2019, with 629 affordable units and 270 bonus units, including preliminary review proposals.

#### Proposed 2025 Growth Goal

Each year, the population growth rate established for the coming year is converted into a maximum number of available allocations to be granted to residential building permits in the unincorporated area for that year. The 2025 Growth Goal Rate was formulated based on DOF population estimates and the total number of moderate and above moderate income housing units needed pursuant to the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle RHNA, which identified a need for 2,166 moderate and above moderate housing units for the unincorporated areas of the County. It is anticipated that 50 housing allocations will be granted in 2024, therefore 2,116 units will need allocations for the remainder of the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle (2025-2031). As such, an annual average of 361 building permit allocations per year must be available for the next six years.

In past years, the County's growth goal has been relatively consistent with the State of California's growth rate. Permit activity related to an increasing number of density bonus projects in the County's permit pipeline indicate a potentially higher demand for market rate permits in the coming year. In conjunction with the Sustainability Update the 2023 Housing Element, which set forth increased densities, and continued state legislation aimed at increasing housing supply, there is an expectation that housing permit rates will increase over past trends. In consideration of this information, analyzed further in the attached report (Exhibit C), staff recommends a 0.75% growth rate for calendar year 2025 which results in 373 market rate unit allocations, 280 urban and 93 rural, for 2025.

SCCC Chapter 17.04 directs any unused allocations from the previous year to "roll forward" and be made available for the following year in order to remove unnecessary constraints to the development of housing. Pursuant to this policy, the total number of available allocations in 2025 will include the projected carryover allocations from 2024 of 206 for a total of 579 allocations.

| RECOMMENDED 2025 ALLOCATION DISTRIBUTION |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Area                                     | 2025 Market Rate Unit<br>Allocations | 2024 Carryover<br>Allocations <sup>(1)</sup> | Total 2025<br>Allocations <sup>(1)</sup> |  |  |  |
| Urban                                    | 280                                  | 167  | 447                                      |  |  |  |
| Rural                                    | 93                                   | 39   | 132                                      |  |  |  |
| Total                                    | 373                                  | 206  | 579                                      |  |  |  |

Each year the growth goal rate is translated into a maximum number of allocations for market rate residential building permits. The proposed 0.75% growth rate would result in distribution between urban and rural areas as shown below:

<sup>(1)</sup> Projected year-end allocations available based on continuation of allocation distribution rate for first six months.

Including the estimated carryover allocations from the previous year, the 579 allocations established for is expected to be sufficient to address demand for market rate residential

building permits in 2025.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The establishment of the Year 2025 Growth Goal is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under CEQA §15308 "Actions by Regulatory Agencies for Protection of the Environment," and §15061(b)(3) "where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility the activity may have a significant effect on the environment." A Notice of Exemption has been prepared for your consideration and recommendation (Exhibit B).

Mark Connolly

Stephanie Hansen

Principal Planner

**Assistant Director** 

Exhibits:

- A: Planning Commission Resolution
- B: CEQA Notice of Exemption
- C: Report on the Year 2025 Growth Goal

#### BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### RESOLUTION NO.

On the motion of Commissioner duly seconded by Commissioner the following is adopted:

#### PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING ANNUAL GROWTH GOAL FOR THE YEAR 2025

WHEREAS, the County of Santa Cruz's ("County") Growth Management System, which implements provisions of Measure J approved by the voters of Santa Cruz County in 1978, requires the County to set an annual growth goal for the upcoming year; and

WHEREAS, as part of that process, staff prepares a Growth Goal Report for consideration by the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the County's Growth Management System is inclusionary of the needs of, and provides housing opportunities for, low- and moderate-income persons; and

WHEREAS, the County has exempted building permits for housing units that are affordable to average (moderate) or below average (lower) income households as defined in Santa Cruz County Code ("SCCC") Chapter 17.10 from the requirement to obtain a residential building permit allocation; and

WHEREAS, in most years the County has a carryover of unused market rate building permit allocations from the prior year that can be made available for use in the current year if needed; and

WHEREAS, rapid population growth could cause extremely serious adverse environmental and economic effects, to agricultural lands, timber harvesting and mineral industries, sensitive habitat areas and protected waters, air and water quality, and scenic areas; and

WHEREAS, rapid population growth and development has expanded the demand for government-provided services, at times beyond the ability of the public to pay for and provide such services; and

WHEREAS, school overcrowding, traffic congestion, higher crime rates, and increasingly inadequate water supplies, roads, and sewage facilities will be the result of



rapid population growth and development. These problems are greatly aggravated when new development takes place in rural areas rather than in areas where urban services can be provided at less cost to taxpayers; and

WHEREAS, adoption of a 0.75 percent growth rate for 2025 and a continuing exemption of affordable units from the need for residential permit allocations is anticipated to accommodate the recent rate of housing development and should not restrict the production of housing in the county in the face of a housing crisis and the County's ability to meet its 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Allocation; and

WHEREAS, the Housing Crisis Act of 2019, commonly known as Senate Bill 330 ("SB 330"), prevents local jurisdictions from establishing or implementing limits on population or permits for housing units within an "affected county" while this statute is in place, from January 1, 2020, to January 1, 2030; and

WHEREAS, per SB 330, "affected county" is defined as a Census Designated Place ("CDP") that is wholly located within the boundaries of a Census urbanized area; and

WHEREAS, in Santa Cruz County, affected county areas include the following CDPs: Live Oak, Pasatiempo, Paradise Park, and Soquel; and

WHEREAS, SCCC 12.02.020(9) states that building permits may be determined, consistent with SCCC 17.01 and 17.04, to be exempt from the residential permit allocation system by resolution or ordinance of the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, this subsection may be applied to homes damaged or destroyed by the CZU August Lightning Complex Fires that began in August 2020 for the following reasons:

- 1. The CZU August Lightning Complex Fires ("Fires") resulted in an unprecedented level of destroyed and damaged structures within unincorporated Santa Cruz County. The number of parcels with damaged structures is assessed to be 779, with dwellings damaged on 697 parcels.
- 2. Per SCCC 12.02.020(5), "An existing residential unit that has been destroyed, torn down, or damaged beyond 50 percent of its current market value may be replaced under an exempted permit provided the building is rebuilt on the same parcel or a contiguous parcel under the same ownership."
- 3. Under normal circumstances, an unpermitted residential unit would receive a residential allocation when a replacement building permit is processed. The total number of unpermitted residential units impacted by the fires is unknown at this time; however, it is likely that a significant number of unpermitted residential units may have been destroyed or damaged by the fires.

4. Reconstruction of previously unpermitted residential units destroyed or damaged by the fires would not constitute new development because they would essentially replace previously existing residential units, even if the structures were not previously recognized by the County. These replacement permits would effectively maintain rather than increase population in areas of the County impacted by this disaster and, consequently, exempting these permits from the County's residential building permit allocation system is consistent with the County's Growth Management System and the Annual Population Growth Goal, established in SCCC 17.01 and 17.04, respectively; and

WHEREAS, in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and County environmental review guidelines, adoption of the 2025 Growth Goal has been found to be categorically exempt and a Notice of Exemption has been prepared;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Santa Cruz County Planning Commission makes the following recommendations to the Board of Supervisors:

- 1. A population growth goal of 0.75% be established for 2025.
- 2. Building permit allocations for market rate units should be distributed as shown in Attachment A-1, with approximately 75% of the 2025 growth in the urban portion of the unincorporated County, and 25% in the rural portion.
- 3. Unused 2024 market rate permit allocations shall be carried over to 2025.
- 4. In accordance with the Housing Crisis Act of 2019 ("SB 330"), Santa Cruz County will not enforce the Measure J Growth Goal limit on residential permit allocations within affected County areas while this statute is in place.
- 5. Pursuant to Santa Cruz County Code Section 12.02.020(5), all residential units impacted by the CZU August Lightning Complex Fires are exempt from the Measure J residential permit allocation system, including existing unpermitted residential units.
- 6. Adoption of the 2025 Growth Goal is categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15308 because establishing an annual growth goal is required by SCCC 17.04 to address the environmental impact of population growth in the County, and pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) because no physical impact to the environment will occur as a result of this project; and a corresponding Notice of Exemption should be filed.

3

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Planning Commission of the County of Santa Cruz, State of California, this 11th day of September 2024, by the following vote:

| AYES:    | COMMISSIONERS |
|----------|---------------|
| NOES:    | COMMISSIONERS |
| ABSENT:  | COMMISSIONERS |
| ABSTAIN: | COMMISSIONERS |

| ATTEST:              |  |             |
|----------------------|--|-------------|
| Secretary            | /  | Chairperson |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: | DocuSigned by:<br>Natalie Kirkish<br>D52DC6AA0E74498<br>County Counsel |             |

Attachment A-1: Recommended 2024 Building Permit Allocation Distribution

#### Attachment A-1

#### RECOMMENDED 2025 RESIDENTIAL ALLOCATION DISTRIBUTION (Market Rate Units Only)

| Area  | Allocations | Carryover* | Total 2025<br>Allocations* |
|-------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|
| Urban | 280         | 167        | 447                        |
| Rural | 93          | 39         | 132                        |
| Total | 373         | 206        | 579                        |

\*Includes projected year-end allocations available based on continuation of rate for first six months of 2024.

5

# **DocuSign**<sup>•</sup>

#### **Certificate Of Completion**

Envelope Id: 975ED0C6B63B4698998C0A15ACAAA288 Subject: Complete with Docusign: Exhibit A\_2025 Growth Goal PC Resolution FINAL.docx Source Envelope: Document Pages: 5 Signatures: 1 Certificate Pages: 4 Initials: 0 AutoNav: Enabled EnvelopeId Stamping: Enabled Time Zone: (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada) Status: Completed

Envelope Originator: Stephanie Hansen 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Stephanie.Hansen@santacruzcountyca.gov IP Address: 24.6.181.199

| Record Tracking  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Status: Original<br>8/30/2024 11:46:46 AM<br>Security Appliance Status: Connected  | Holder: Stephanie Hansen<br>Stephanie.Hansen@santacruzcountyca.gov<br>Pool: FedRamp  | Location: DocuSign   |
| Storage Appliance Status: Connected  | Pool: County of Santa Cruz   | Location: DocuSign   |
| Signer Events  | Signature  | Timestamp  |
| Natalie Kirkish<br>Natalie.Kirkish@santacruzcountyca.gov<br>Security Level: Email, Account Authentication<br>(None)      | DocuSigned by:<br>Natalie Kirkish<br>D52DC6AA0E74498<br>Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style<br>Using IP Address: 98.51.47.121 | Sent: 8/30/2024 11:47:40 AM<br>Viewed: 8/30/2024 11:52:25 AM<br>Signed: 8/30/2024 11:54:48 AM    |
| Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:<br>Accepted: 8/1/2024 2:49:37 PM<br>ID: b763e46b-8c75-436f-b147-3f5717480ab2 |  |  |
| In Person Signer Events  | Signature  | Timestamp  |
| Editor Delivery Events   | Status   | Timestamp  |
| Agent Delivery Events  | Status   | Timestamp  |
| Intermediary Delivery Events   | Status   | Timestamp  |
| Certified Delivery Events  | Status   | Timestamp  |
| Carbon Copy Events   | Status   | Timestamp  |
| Witness Events   | Signature  | Timestamp  |
| Notary Events  | Signature  | Timestamp  |
| Envelope Summary Events  | Status   | Timestamps   |
| Envelope Sent<br>Certified Delivered<br>Signing Complete<br>Completed  | Hashed/Encrypted<br>Security Checked<br>Security Checked<br>Security Checked   | 8/30/2024 11:47:41 AM<br>8/30/2024 11:52:25 AM<br>8/30/2024 11:54:48 AM<br>8/30/2024 11:54:48 AM |
| Payment Events   | Status   | Timestamps   |
| Electronic Record and Signature Discl  | osure  |  |

#### ELECTRONIC RECORD AND SIGNATURE DISCLOSURE

From time to time, County of Santa Cruz (we, us or Company) may be required by law to provide to you certain written notices or disclosures. Described below are the terms and conditions for providing to you such notices and disclosures electronically through the DocuSign system. Please read the information below carefully and thoroughly, and if you can access this information electronically to your satisfaction and agree to this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure (ERSD), please confirm your agreement by selecting the check-box next to 'I agree to use electronic records and signatures' before clicking 'CONTINUE' within the DocuSign system.

#### **Getting paper copies**

At any time, you may request from us a paper copy of any record provided or made available electronically to you by us. You will have the ability to download and print documents we send to you through the DocuSign system during and immediately after the signing session and, if you elect to create a DocuSign account, you may access the documents for a limited period of time (usually 30 days) after such documents are first sent to you. After such time, if you wish for us to send you paper copies of any such documents from our office to you, you will be charged a \$0.00 per-page fee. You may request delivery of such paper copies from us by following the procedure described below.

#### Withdrawing your consent

If you decide to receive notices and disclosures from us electronically, you may at any time change your mind and tell us that thereafter you want to receive required notices and disclosures only in paper format. How you must inform us of your decision to receive future notices and disclosure in paper format and withdraw your consent to receive notices and disclosures electronically is described below.

#### Consequences of changing your mind

If you elect to receive required notices and disclosures only in paper format, it will slow the speed at which we can complete certain steps in transactions with you and delivering services to you because we will need first to send the required notices or disclosures to you in paper format, and then wait until we receive back from you your acknowledgment of your receipt of such paper notices or disclosures. Further, you will no longer be able to use the DocuSign system to receive required notices and consents electronically from us or to sign electronically documents from us.

#### All notices and disclosures will be sent to you electronically

Unless you tell us otherwise in accordance with the procedures described herein, we will provide electronically to you through the DocuSign system all required notices, disclosures, authorizations, acknowledgements, and other documents that are required to be provided or made available to you during the course of our relationship with you. To reduce the chance of you inadvertently not receiving any notice or disclosure, we prefer to provide all of the required notices and disclosures to you by the same method and to the same address that you have given us. Thus, you can receive all the disclosures and notices electronically or in paper format through the paper mail delivery system. If you do not agree with this process, please let us know as described below. Please also see the paragraph immediately above that describes the consequences of your electing not to receive delivery of the notices and disclosures electronically from us.

#### How to contact County of Santa Cruz:

You may contact us to let us know of your changes as to how we may contact you electronically, to request paper copies of certain information from us, and to withdraw your prior consent to receive notices and disclosures electronically as follows:

To contact us by email send messages to: nada.algharib@santacruzcounty.us

#### To advise County of Santa Cruz of your new email address

To let us know of a change in your email address where we should send notices and disclosures electronically to you, you must send an email message to us at nada.algharib@santacruzcounty.us and in the body of such request you must state: your previous email address, your new email address. We do not require any other information from you to change your email address.

If you created a DocuSign account, you may update it with your new email address through your account preferences.

#### To request paper copies from County of Santa Cruz

To request delivery from us of paper copies of the notices and disclosures previously provided by us to you electronically, you must send us an email to nada.algharib@santacruzcounty.us and in the body of such request you must state your email address, full name, mailing address, and telephone number. We will bill you for any fees at that time, if any.

#### To withdraw your consent with County of Santa Cruz

To inform us that you no longer wish to receive future notices and disclosures in electronic format you may:

i. decline to sign a document from within your signing session, and on the subsequent page, select the check-box indicating you wish to withdraw your consent, or you may;

ii. send us an email to nada.algharib@santacruzcounty.us and in the body of such request you must state your email, full name, mailing address, and telephone number. We do not need any other information from you to withdraw consent. The consequences of your withdrawing consent for online documents will be that transactions may take a longer time to process.

#### **Required hardware and software**

The minimum system requirements for using the DocuSign system may change over time. The current system requirements are found here: <u>https://support.docusign.com/guides/signer-guide-signing-system-requirements</u>.

#### Acknowledging your access and consent to receive and sign documents electronically

To confirm to us that you can access this information electronically, which will be similar to other electronic notices and disclosures that we will provide to you, please confirm that you have read this ERSD, and (i) that you are able to print on paper or electronically save this ERSD for your future reference and access; or (ii) that you are able to email this ERSD to an email address where you will be able to print on paper or save it for your future reference and access. Further, if you consent to receiving notices and disclosures exclusively in electronic format as described herein, then select the check-box next to 'I agree to use electronic records and signatures' before clicking 'CONTINUE' within the DocuSign system.

By selecting the check-box next to 'I agree to use electronic records and signatures', you confirm that:

- You can access and read this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure; and
- You can print on paper this Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure, or save or send this Electronic Record and Disclosure to a location where you can print it, for future reference and access; and
- Until or unless you notify County of Santa Cruz as described above, you consent to receive exclusively through electronic means all notices, disclosures, authorizations, acknowledgements, and other documents that are required to be provided or made available to you by County of Santa Cruz during the course of your relationship with County of Santa Cruz.



County of Santa Cruz

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE 701 OCEAN STREET, 4<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 PLANNING (831) 454-2580 PUBLIC WORKS (831) 454-2160 www.sccoplanning.com

## NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

**To:** Clerk of the Board 701 Ocean Street, Room 500 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

| Project Name:        | Establishment of the Year 2025 Growth Goal             |
|----------------------|--|
| Project Location:    | Unincorporated area of the Santa Cruz County           |
| Assessor Parcel No.: | N/A  |
| Project Applicant:   | County of Santa Cruz                                   |
| Project Description: | Setting the Year 2025 Annual Growth Goal Rate at 0.75% |
| Agonov Annyoving Dr  | electr County of Sonto Cruz                            |

| Agency Approving Pr | oject: County of Santa Cruz      |                            |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| County Contact:     | Mark Connolly, Principal Planner | Telephone No. 831-454-2682 |
| Date Completed:     | 8/30/2024                        |                            |

This is to advise that the County of Santa Cruz has found the project to be exempt from CEQA under the following criteria:

Exempt status: (check one)

The proposed activity is not a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378.

The proposed activity is not subject to CEQA as specified under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060 (c).

The proposed activity is exempt from CEQA as specified under CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3).

Ministerial Project involving only the use of fixed standards or objective measurements without personal judgment.

**Statutory Exemption** other than a Ministerial Project (CEQA Guidelines Section 15260 to 15285). Specify type:

**Categorical Exemption** 

15308 Class 8. Actions by Regulatory Agencies for Protection of the Environment

#### Reasons why the project is exempt:

The project is intended only to meet the requirements set forth in Chapter 17.04 of the County Code, "Annual Population Growth Goal for Santa Cruz County." Establishment of the annual population growth goal is a requirement of Measure J, which was adopted in 1979 to address resource and public services impacts of population growth in the County and represents Santa Cruz County's fair share of statewide population growth for the upcoming year. Also, as authorized by state law or local ordinance to assure the maintenance, restoration, enhancement, or protection of the environment where the regulatory process involves procedures for protection of the environment. No physical impact to the environment would occur.

Mark J Connolly

Date: 8<u>/30/2024</u>

Title: Principal Planner

Signature:

## **REPORT ON**

## YEAR 2025 GROWTH GOAL

for

## SANTA CRUZ COUNTY'S UNINCORPORATED AREA

Prepared by:

## County of Santa Cruz Community Development and Infrastructure Department

**Planning Division** 

September 11, 2024



This page intentionally left blank.

## Contents

| I. INTRODUCTION  | 1  |
|--|----|
| II. POPULATION TRENDS  | 1  |
| Population Estimates   | 1  |
| Population Projections   | 3  |
| III. RESIDENTIAL BUILDING PERMIT TRENDS AND ALLOCATIONS                | 4  |
| Summary of Recent Allocations and Status of the 2024 Allocation System | 6  |
| IV. POTENTIAL GROWTH IMPACTS   | 9  |
| Resource Protection  | 9  |
| Urban Services   | 14 |
| V. HOUSING NEEDS   | 19 |
| 2023-2031 Housing Element  | 19 |
| Regional Housing Needs Allocation                                      | 19 |
| Affordable Housing   | 20 |
| Demolition Permits   | 23 |
| Current Pipeline of Subsidized Affordable Projects                     | 24 |
| Permanent Room Housing (PRH) Projects                                  |    |
| Density Bonus Annual Report  |    |
| Accessory Dwelling Unit Annual Report                                  |    |
| VI. GROWTH GOAL RECOMMENDATION   | 30 |
| Growth Goal  |    |
| Building Permit Allocations  |    |
| Allocation Carryover   |    |
| Rural Land Divisions   |    |
| VII. CONCLUSION  |    |
| VIII. REFERENCES   | 34 |

# Tables and Figures

| Table 1: Population And Growth Rates Of County Jurisdictions | 2 |
|--|---|
| Table 2: Santa Cruz County Population Forecast               | 4 |
| Table 3: Measure J Residential Allocations Since 1979        | 5 |
| Table 4: Unused Allocations Carried Over                     | 6 |
| Table 5: 2024 Allocation Status Of Approved Urban Projects   | 7 |
| Table 6: 2024 Pending Major Projects                         | 8 |
|  |   |
| Figure A: Population Growth Rate By Decade                   | 3 |
| Figure B: Measure J Residential Allocations Since 2000       | 4 |

This page intentionally left blank.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In 1978, Santa Cruz County voters adopted the growth management referendum known as Measure J. This legislation requires the County to establish an annual population growth goal of an amount that represents Santa Cruz County's fair share of statewide population growth. Each year a growth rate is set and then converted into a maximum number of "allocations" of market-rate residential building permits that can be issued in the unincorporated area for the coming year. The process is defined in Santa Cruz County Code (SCCC) Chapter 17.01 (Growth Management), Chapter 17.04 (Annual Population Growth Goal for Santa Cruz County), and Chapter 12.02 (Residential Permit Allocation System). In December 2023, the Board of Supervisors adopted a 2024 growth goal of 0.5%. This report provides information for consideration by the County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors to determine the annual growth goal for calendar year 2025.

The County of Santa Cruz Planning Division of the Community Development & Infrastructure Department (CDI) recommends the annual growth goal based on several factors, including analysis of population growth trends, resource constraints, and the status of residential building permit allocations for the current year. These factors are examined under the following sections of this report:

- Section II Population Trends: discusses the latest population estimates and population data projections.
- Section III Residential Building Permit Trends and Allocations: presents information on issued residential building permits and the status of the 2024 Measure J allocations including allocations allotted between January and June of 2024 and allocations that have been carried over since the adoption of Measure J;
- Section IV Potential Growth Impacts: details countywide resource constraints and public service issues.
- Section V Housing Needs: examines the Association of Monterey Bay Area Government's (AMBAG's) regional housing needs planning process, the status of the County's General Plan Housing Element, the continued need for affordable housing in the County, and the Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) Annual Report.
- Section VI Growth Goal Recommendation: presents this year's recommended growth rate and building permit allocation distribution and explains how the Housing Crisis Act of 2019 (Senate Bill [SB] 330) will impact the County's growth management system.
- Section VII Conclusion: summarizes the findings from Sections II through VI.
- Section VIII References: lists the sources referenced in this report.

#### **II. POPULATION TRENDS**

#### **Population Estimates**

The State of California Department of Finance (DOF) publishes annual population estimates for counties across the state in May of each year. Table 1 shows the DOF

population estimates for Santa Cruz County in 2023 and provisional estimates for 2024. These population estimates indicate a countywide population of 262,572 (127,451 in the unincorporated area) as of January 1, 2024 (DOF, 2024). Table 1 also includes the estimated annual population growth rates for 2022 and 2023 in each of the Santa Cruz County jurisdictions, as well as California as a whole.

The County Board of Supervisors adopted a population growth rate of 0.5% growth rate for the unincorporated area for 2023 and 2024. Population growth for the county overall in 2023 experienced a negative growth rate of -0.3%, up from --0.4% growth in 2022. The State of California grew at a rate of 0.2% in 2023, which is up from -0.1% growth last year.

| Area                  | 1/1/2022<br>Population<br>Estimate <sup>(1)</sup> | 1/1/2023<br>Population<br>Estimate <sup>(2)</sup> | 1/1/2024<br>Population<br>Estimate <sup>(3)</sup> | 2022<br>Population<br>Growth Rate <sup>(2)</sup> | 2023<br>Population<br>Growth Rate |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| City of Capitola      | 9,609   | 9,558   | 9,497   | -0.5%  | -0.6%                             |
| City of Santa Cruz    | 62,809  | 62,929  | 62,776  | 0.2%   | -0.2%                             |
| City of Scotts Valley | 11,943  | 11,872  | 11,816  | -0.6%  | -0.5%                             |
| City of Watsonville   | 51,096  | 50,820  | 51,032  | -0.5%  | 0.4%                              |
| Unincorporated Area   | 129,038   | 128,159   | 127,451   | -0.7%  | -0.6%                             |
| County Total          | 264,495   | 263,338   | 262,572   | -0.4%  | -0.3%                             |
| State of California   | 39,114,785  | 39,061,058  | 39,128,162  | -0.1%  | 0.2%                              |

#### TABLE 1: POPULATION AND GROWTH RATES OF COUNTY JURISDICTIONS

Source: California Department of Finance Demographic Research Unit, Report E-5: Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State, January 2021-2024, with 2020 Benchmark (Released: May 2024)

<sup>(1)</sup> 2020 Census Population Data Benchmark


<sup>(2)</sup> Population estimates for previous years are updated based on the latest DOF E-5 data and may differ from last year's report

<sup>(3)</sup> 2024 data is provisional

The estimated 2023 population growth rate for the unincorporated area is -0.6%, a decrease of 879 people, which is less than the previous year's estimate of -0.7% growth. As a result, the allocation for new residential building permits derived from the 0.5% growth goal was more than sufficient to accommodate the demand for residential permits to construct new market-rate units in 2023, as well as the first half of 2024.

DOF population estimates for cities and unincorporated counties are calculated using the Housing Unit Method (HUM). This means that changes in housing unit data, including new construction, annexations, and demolitions, reported by local jurisdictions play a significant role in determining annual population estimates. The DOF also reported that the recent increase in California's population last year was due to three main factors: foreign legal immigration continued to rebound from the pandemic, greater domestic inmigration and slowed domestic out-migration, and a natural increase from the net result of births minus deaths. The DOF expects that these factors will continue to contribute to a slower but positive growth rate for California in the near future.

#### FIGURE A: POPULATION GROWTH RATE BY DECADE



While population has steadily grown in the state of California and county as a whole since the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century, population growth in the unincorporated area has had a slightly different trajectory. As shown in Figure A, the unincorporated area's population grew rapidly in the 1960s and 1970s, surpassing growth rates in the state and county as a whole. However, growth rates have declined in the decades since and the population decreased in our area between the 2000 and 2010 Census years. According to 2020 Census data, the unincorporated area population was 132,213, up from 2010 when the population dropped to 129,807. Since 2020, the population in unincorporated Santa Cruz County has decreased at an average annual rate of -3.76%.


#### **Population Projections**

In June of 2022, AMBAG adopted its 2022 Regional Growth Forecast for all jurisdictions in the three-county AMBAG region to cover the period 2015 through 2045. Table 2 presents the 2022 AMBAG projections for all Santa Cruz County jurisdictions. At the tricounty level, the AMBAG population forecast is based on employment and demographic population change models, taking into account historical trends. At the sub-county level, AMBAG disaggregated the County population projections to the local jurisdictions based on historic population trends, adjusting for anticipated housing developments and special population areas like UC Santa Cruz. The AMBAG forecasts are utilized in regional and County planning efforts, such as the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Community Strategy (MTP/SCS), the regional Air Quality Management Plan, and transportation plans. The 2022 AMBAG forecast projects that the unincorporated area will grow by approximately 963 people between the years 2015 and 2045 to a total population of 135,953 (Source: AMBAG 2045 MTP/SCS, Jun 15, 2022).

| TABLE 2: SANTA CRUZ COUNTY POPULATION FORECAST |              |              |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                              |                        |
|--|--------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
|  |              | 2            | 022 AMBA         | AG Adopte        | d Forecas        | t <sup>(1)</sup> |                  |                              |                        |
| Area   | 2015         | 2020         | 2025<br>Forecast | 2030<br>Forecast | 2035<br>Forecast | 2040<br>Forecast | 2045<br>Forecast | Numeric<br>Change<br>2015-45 | %<br>Change<br>2015-45 |
| City of Capitola                               | 10,224       | 10,108       | 10,485           | 10,794           | 10,957           | 11,049           | 11,126           | 902                          | 9%                     |
| City of Santa Cruz                             | 64,223       | 64,424       | 68,845           | 72,218           | 75,257           | 78,828           | 79,534           | 15,311                       | 24%                    |
| City of Scotts<br>Valley                       | 11,946       | 11,693       | 11,718           | 11,837           | 11,867           | 11,868           | 12,010           | 64                           | 1%                     |
| City of Watsonville                            | 52,410       | 51,515       | 52,918           | 54,270           | 55,138           | 55,786           | 56,344           | 3,934                        | 8%                     |
| Unincorporated<br>Area                         | 134,99       | 133,49       | 134,675          | 135,027          | 135,304          | 135,625          | 135,953          | 963                          | 1%                     |
| County Total                                   | 273,79       | 271,23       | 278,641          | 284,146          | 288,523          | 293,156          | 294,967          | 21,174                       | 8%                     |
| <sup>(1)</sup> 2015 - 2020 data from           | DOF. Forecas | t years were | prepared by A    | MBAG and the     | Population Re    | ference Burea    | ı (PRB).         |                              |                        |

#### **III. RESIDENTIAL BUILDING PERMIT TRENDS AND ALLOCATIONS**

Under the County's Growth Management System, Measure J allocations are granted when building permit applications for new single-family or multiple-family residences, mobile homes, or other dwelling units are submitted to the Planning Division of the Community Development and Infrastructure Department (CDI) for review. Planning staff tracks the number of allocations granted every year. Replacement units, including housing units impacted by the CZU August Lightning Complex Fires and other natural disasters, affordable units (since 1992), and ADUs are exempted from the allocation system and are not included in these figures. Figure B below shows building permit allocation since 2000. Table 3 provides a more detailed look at the trends going back to 1979, when Measure J was first implemented.



#### FIGURE B: MEASURE J RESIDENTIAL ALLOCATIONS SINCE 2000

| (EAR | ALLOCATIONS CARRIED<br>OVER <sup>(1)</sup> | ALLOCATIONS SET<br>BY THE BOARD | ALLOCATIONS SET BY THE<br>BOARD (Not Including<br>Exempted Permits) <sup>(2)</sup> | ALLOCATIONS<br>GRANTED <sup>(1)(3)</sup> |
|------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 1979 | 0  | 930                             |  | 741                                      |
| 1980 | 189  | 1055                            |  | 972                                      |
| 1981 | 272  | 937                             |  | 934                                      |
| 1982 | 275  | 968                             |  | 738                                      |
| 1983 | 505  | 972                             |  | 619                                      |
| 1984 | 858  | 991                             |  | 609                                      |
| 1985 | 1240                                       | 757                             |  | 710                                      |
| 1986 | 1287                                       | 768                             |  | 595                                      |
| 1987 | 1460                                       | 468                             |  | 606 (4)                                  |
| 1988 | 1322                                       | 489                             |  | 670 <sup>(4)</sup>                       |
| 1989 | 1141                                       | 489 + 1384 <sup>(5)</sup>       |  | 420                                      |
| 1990 | 2594                                       | 487                             |  | 267                                      |
| 1991 | 2814                                       | 495                             |  | 173                                      |
| 1992 | 268  | 509                             | 433  | 158                                      |
| 1993 | 275  | 512                             | 435  | 109                                      |
| 1994 | 326  | 525                             | 446  | 168                                      |
| 1995 | 278  | 528                             | 449  | 131                                      |
| 1996 | 318  | 530                             | 450  | 138                                      |
| 1997 | 312  | 531                             | 451  | 197                                      |
| 1998 | 254  | 526                             | 447  | 275                                      |
| 1999 | 172  | 396                             | 337  | 216 <sup>(6)</sup>                       |
| 2000 | 104  | 399                             | 339  | 220                                      |
| 2001 | 119  | 266                             | 227  | 177 <sup>(7)</sup>                       |
| 2002 | 60   | 264                             | 227  | 135                                      |
| 2003 | 92   | 264                             | 227  | 127                                      |
| 2004 | 100  | 262                             | 222  | 171                                      |
| 2005 | 51   | 267                             | 227  | 125                                      |
| 2006 | 102  | 257                             |  | 88                                       |
| 2007 | 169  | 256                             |  | 149                                      |
| 2008 | 107  | 257                             |  | 32                                       |
| 2009 | 225  | 258                             |  | 38                                       |
| 2010 | 220  | 260                             |  | 29                                       |
| 2011 | 231  | 259                             |  | 34                                       |
| 2012 | 225  | 252                             |  | 35                                       |
| 2013 | 217  | 252                             |  | 43                                       |
| 2014 | 209  | 253                             |  | 36                                       |
| 2015 | 217  | 470                             |  | 48                                       |
| 2016 | 205  | 464                             |  | 67                                       |
| 2017 | 192  | 453                             |  | 28                                       |
| 2018 | 233  | 494                             |  | 54                                       |
| 2019 | 207  | 463                             |  | 56                                       |
| 2020 | 200  | 455                             |  | 77 <sup>(9)</sup>                        |
| 2021 | 178  | 309                             |  | 47                                       |
| 2022 | 83   | 213                             |  | 77                                       |
| 2023 | 78   | 334                             |  | 34                                       |
| 2024 | 222  | 479                             |  | 25 <sup>(10)</sup> /50 <sup>(11)</sup>   |

<sup>(1)</sup> Totals are updated after year-end to reflect final allocation data and may differ from those recorded in previous years' final reports.

<sup>(2)</sup> Before 1992, the allocation system included both market rate and affordable units. Beginning in 1992, affordable units were exempted from the allocation system, and in 2005, the allocation formula was updated to reflect that policy change and include only market-rate units.

<sup>(3)</sup> Allocations granted to market rate units only (affordable units, ADUs, and replacement units are exempted from the allocation system).

<sup>(4)</sup> More building permits were issued than allocated that year due to the issuance of permits from the carryover reservoir.

<sup>(5)</sup> A special allocation of 1,384 additional affordable permits was approved to allow attainment of the regional housing goal for the 1980-90 decade.

<sup>(6)</sup> 208 from the 1999 allocation and eight (rural) from the 1998 carryover.

<sup>(7)</sup> Including 10 carryover allocations authorized by the Board of Supervisors in June 2001.

<sup>(8)</sup> Starting on 2025, allocations include carryover allocations from the previous year.

<sup>(9)</sup> Including 45 Permanent Room Housing (PRH) units: 22 urban and 23 rural units.

<sup>(10)</sup> As of July 1, 2024

<sup>(11)</sup> Projected annual total if the current rate continues through the end of the year.

#### Summary of Recent Allocations and Status of the 2024 Allocation System

Over the past decade, the number of allocations granted has been lower than in previous years, beginning with the 2008-10 recession and then again impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. After an increase in 2022 where 77 allocations were granted, 34 allocations were granted in 2023, and 25 have been granted as of July 1, 2024. If the rate of the first six months continues, 50 allocations are projected to be granted in 2024.

Since 2001, the total number of available allocations derived from the growth goal each year has been sufficient to accommodate the demand for market-rate residential building permits and result in a surplus of allocations to carry over to the following year. Carry over totals, included in Table 4, show that the demand for market rate building permits has not come near the maximum allocated since the year 2004 when all rural allocations were granted, and only urban allocations were carried over.

| TABLE 4: UNUSED ALLOCATIONS CARRIED OVER |       |       |       |  |
|--|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Year                                     | Urban | Rural | Total |  |
| 2001                                     | 60    | 0     | 60    |  |
| 2002                                     | 82    | 10    | 92    |  |
| 2003                                     | 77    | 23    | 100   |  |
| 2004                                     | 51    | 0     | 51    |  |
| 2005                                     | 88    | 14    | 102   |  |
| 2006                                     | 116   | 53    | 169   |  |
| 2007                                     | 76    | 31    | 107   |  |
| 2008                                     | 159   | 66    | 225   |  |
| 2009                                     | 160   | 60    | 220   |  |
| 2010                                     | 164   | 67    | 231   |  |
| 2011                                     | 153   | 72    | 225   |  |
| 2012                                     | 152   | 65    | 217   |  |
| 2013                                     | 139   | 70    | 209   |  |
| 2014                                     | 155   | 62    | 217   |  |
| 2015                                     | 142   | 63    | 205   |  |
| 2016                                     | 129   | 63    | 192   |  |
| 2017                                     | 157   | 76    | 233   |  |
| 2018                                     | 146   | 61    | 207   |  |
| 2019                                     | 132   | 68    | 200   |  |
| 2020                                     | 147   | 31    | 178   |  |
| 2021                                     | 70    | 14    | 84    |  |
| 2022                                     | 58    | 20    | 78    |  |
| 2023                                     | 179   | 43    | 222   |  |

In addition to tracking annual Measure J allocations, staff also tracks the future demand for allocations that will result from approved and pending minor land divisions (two to four lots) and major projects (five or more lots/units) that will require allocations. While staff can estimate the demand for allocations that will come from the creation of new lots and upcoming projects, timing of actual unit construction is more difficult to estimate since it may be influenced by a number of factors.

YEAR 2025 GROWTH GOAL REPORT

Estimates of future demand for allocations are calculated in Tables 5 through 7, which track the status of approved and pending projects as of July 1, 2024. Table 5 lists the major projects that were approved in recent years and the status of their Measure J allocations. This table indicates a future demand of 96 allocations.

| TABLE 5: 2024 ALLOCATION STATUS OF APPROVED URBAN PROJECTS<br>(5 OR MORE LOTS/UNITS) AS OF JULY 1, 2024 |                                       |                                       |                               |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Project Name  | Total Market Rate<br>Units in Project | Units from<br>Previous<br>Allocations | Units from<br>2024 Allocation | Remaining<br>Units to be<br>Allocated |
| Aptos Village   | 59                                    | 53                                    | 0                             | 6                                     |
| Capitola Road Extension*  | 5                                     | 5                                     | 0                             | 0                                     |
| Forest and Meadows  | 50                                    | 49                                    | 0                             | 1                                     |
| Lakeview Estates  | 7                                     | 0                                     | 0                             | 7                                     |
| 2740 Mattison Lane  | 10                                    | 0                                     | 0                             | 10                                    |
| 3212 Mission Drive*   | 18                                    | 18                                    | 0                             | 0                                     |
| Monterey Ave/Lorraine Ln*   | 5                                     | 0                                     | 5                             | 0                                     |
| Paul Minnie*  | 13                                    | 0                                     | 0                             | 13                                    |
| Pleasure Point Plaza*   | 29                                    | 0                                     | 0                             | 29                                    |
| Santa Cruz Gardens #8   | 12                                    | 11                                    | 0                             | 1                                     |
| Seascape Uplands  | 107                                   | 102                                   | 0                             | 5                                     |
| Seaview Terrace/Hilltop   | 9                                     | 7                                     | 0                             | 2                                     |
| Soquel Townhomes*   | 13                                    | 13                                    | 0                             | 0                                     |
| Tan Heights/Seacrest  | 30                                    | 29                                    | 0                             | 1                                     |
| Woods Cove/Graham Hill<br>Estates   | 60                                    | 57                                    | 0                             | 3                                     |
| 1960 Maciel Ave*+   | 18                                    | 0                                     | 0                             | 18                                    |
| TOTAL   | 445                                   | 344                                   | 5                             | 96                                    |

Table 6 shows the status of pending major projects currently in the development review process and indicates a potential future demand of 349 allocations.

| TABLE 6: 2024 PENDING MAJOR PROJECTS<br>(5 OR MORE LOTS/UNITS) AS OF JULY 1, 2024 |                                      |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Name  | Market Rate Units<br>to be Allocated |  |  |  |
| 3800 Portola Drive (Lumberyard)*  | 16                                   |  |  |  |
| 2450 Mattison Ln*   | 21                                   |  |  |  |
| 3500 Paul Sweet Rd.*^   | 67                                   |  |  |  |
| Graham Hill Rd.*^   | 128                                  |  |  |  |
| 841 Capitola Rd.^   | 32                                   |  |  |  |
| 5940 Soquel Ave.  | 60                                   |  |  |  |
| TOTAL   | 349                                  |  |  |  |
| (*) Indicates density bonus used  | 1                                    |  |  |  |

Table 7 shows the status of approved and pending minor land division applications and indicates a potential future demand of seven allocations. Tables 5 through 7 estimate a combined potential demand for allocations associated with both approved and pending housing development projects in unincorporated Santa Cruz County.

| TABLE 7: 2024 APPROVED AND PENDING MINOR LAND DIVISIONS(2-4 LOTS) AS OF JULY 1, 2024   |  |                                       |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Project Location   | Approved Number of Lots <sup>(1)</sup> | Pending Number of Lots <sup>(1)</sup> |  |  |
| Urban  | 6                                      | 7                                     |  |  |
| Rural  | 0                                      | 0                                     |  |  |
| TOTAL  | 6                                      | 7                                     |  |  |
| <sup>(1)</sup> The number indicated counts the subject lot(s) being subdivided, which may already contain existing residences. |  |                                       |  |  |

Therefore, the number shown is a maximum and does not necessarily directly translate into the number of new residential building permits that will eventually be needed for buildout of these minor land divisions.

Table 8 summarizes the status of the Measure J residential allocations for 2024. As of July 1, 2024, 25 allocations have been granted, comparable to July 1<sup>st</sup> of last year, when 24 allocations had been granted.

| TABLE 8: 2024 MEASURE J RESIDENTIAL ALLOCATION STATUS<br>AS OF JULY 1, 2024   |                   |                 |                   |  |  |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|
|   | URBAN             | RURAL           | TOTAL             |  |  |
| Allocations Set by Board<br>of Supervisors*   | 193 (+) 179 = 372 | 64 (+) 43 = 107 | 372 (+) 127 = 479 |  |  |
| Allocations Granted (as of 7/1 /2024)   | 13                | 12              | 25                |  |  |
| Remaining Allocations<br>Available**  | 180 (+) 179 = 359 | 52 (+) 43 = 95  | 454               |  |  |
| (*) includes carryover allocations of 179 urban units and 43 rural units from 2023<br>(**) Measure J allocations given as of 7/1/2024 subtracted from set allocations for 2024. |                   |                 |                   |  |  |

This year's allocation rate continues the trend of relatively low building permit activity over the last decade, particularly since the 2008-10 recession. The continued slowdown of development throughout the county in the past two years is likely also a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. In March 2020, local and state public safety requirements, such as stay-at-home orders and social distancing, as well as related economic impacts, including substantial increases to inflation and mortgage interest rates, may have significantly reduced demand for residential building permits over the past two years. With only 25 allocations granted out of the 479 allocations available for 2024, 454 allocations are still available for this year. Staff anticipates that this will provide ample allocations for the remainder of 2024 in both the rural and urban areas of unincorporated Santa Cruz County.

#### IV. POTENTIAL GROWTH IMPACTS

The County's growth management system was instituted to address the impacts of growth on natural resources and public services. The following discussion highlights recent impact issues and steps being taken to ensure adequate resource protection and to ensure that proposed growth can be accommodated with adequate urban services.

#### **Resource Protection**

The County General Plan and County Code include numerous policies and measures to protect natural resources and to mitigate any impacts from increased development. These policies and ordinances address watershed protection, protection of biotic resources, protection of agricultural lands, erosion control, stormwater runoff quality and quantity management, and maintenance of groundwater recharge. The most pressing resource impacted by growth in the county is currently water supply, including timing and availability of new supplies. Anticipated growth may also have significant impact to the county's transportation infrastructure, which already experiences high levels of usage and traffic.

YEAR 2025 GROWTH GOAL REPORT

#### Water Supply Constraints:

All county water purveyors are continuing to pursue solutions to challenges for sustainable water supply due to groundwater overdraft, lack of storage, seawater intrusion, and/or diminished availability of streamflow from persistent drought conditions.

All the main aquifers in this county, the primary sources of the County's potable water and irrigation water are in some degree of overdraft. Overdraft is manifested in several ways including 1) declining groundwater levels, 2) degradation of water quality, 3) diminished stream base flow, and/or 4) seawater intrusion. Surface water supplies, which are the primary source of supply for the northern third of the county, are inadequate during drought periods and will be further diminished because of the need to increase stream baseflows to restore habitat for endangered salmonid populations. The latest climate change projections for the region indicate that in the future, there will be longer drought periods and a shorter rainy season, which will lead to a further reduction in surface flow and groundwater recharge. This will be exacerbated by increased evapotranspiration due to warmer weather.

In response to these challenges, coordinated water resource management has been of primary concern to the County and to the various water agencies. As required by state law, each of the county's water agencies serving more than 3,000 connections must update their Urban Water Management Plans every five years. The most recent update was due in 2021 and includes the addition of a Water Shortage Contingency Plan to help agencies prepare for drought. Smaller systems must develop an Emergency Response Plan that incorporates drought planning elements. The County has adopted the Drought Response and Outreach Plan which identifies activities to build resilience amongst domestic well owners.

County staff are working with the water agencies on various regional programs to provide for sustainable water supply and protection of the environment. Effective water conservation programs by the water agencies have reduced overall water demand in the past 20 years, despite ongoing population growth. Supplemental supply efforts underway include groundwater recharge enhancement, increased wastewater reuse, and transfer of water among agencies to provide for more efficient and reliable use. The County is also working closely with the groundwater agencies to implement the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) of 2014, which requires all high- and mediumpriority groundwater basins in California to develop groundwater sustainability plans (GSPs) that achieve sustainability within 20 years of implementation. The County is also leading an effort in response to Senate Bill 552 to plan for and provide drought assistance to private wells and state small water systems throughout the County that could be impacted by lowered groundwater levels and water quality challenges.

County staff are actively engaged in the Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) programs for both Santa Cruz County and the Pajaro watershed. Water Resources staff continued to work with water agencies to conduct annual sampling of juvenile salmonids and stream habitats in four watersheds: San Lorenzo, Soquel, Aptos, and Pajaro. Water Resources Staff also continue to work with other County departments and partner

organizations to implement the Stream Wood Program and conduct fish passage and habitat restoration projects throughout the County. The Water Quality program continues to sample the beaches and creeks to monitor water quality and is expanding its capabilities to implement microbial source tracking to identify the cause of water quality impairments.

The following sections describe the localized water supply efforts broken out by geographic area:

<u>City of Santa Cruz and Live Oak:</u> The City of Santa Cruz and surrounding unincorporated urban areas, as well as the University of California – Santa Cruz, are supplied by the City of Santa Cruz Water Department (SCWD), primarily utilizing surface water from the San Lorenzo River, Loch Lomond, and north coast watersheds. During normal years, there is adequate supply, but during drought years, water use reductions are required.

In 2014, the SCWD formed the Water Supply Advisory Committee (WSAC) to evaluate their water supply needs and to develop recommendations for projects to address those needs. Staff turned the recommendations from the WSAC into a Water Supply Augmentation Strategy (WSAS), which includes the evaluation and pursuit of multiple projects to meet those needs. The SCWD is pursuing changes to their existing water rights which will allow for flexibility in how the water system is operated, making it feasible to pursue all of their water management goals.

The SCWD is now actively evaluating the feasibility and pursuing several projects outlined in their WSAS, that would provide adequate water supplies to meet dry year demand. In December 2018, the SCWD began a water transfer pilot project for customers of the Soquel Creek Water District. In 2019, they began testing the ability of the Santa Cruz Mid-County Groundwater Basin to store excess winter surface water underground through a process known as Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR). In 2022, water that was injected into the Basin in the winter was recovered during the dry season and distributed to customers as part of a pilot study. Other strategies include further transfers, ASR in the Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin, and the use of wastewater recycling for irrigation, or injection into one of the groundwater basins. Desalination, while not a priority, is still a possibility for future investigation. It is currently in the design phase.

To support the ability to implement both emergency and routine water transfers, the SCWD and the Scotts Valley Water District (SVWD) sought and received a \$9.6 million grant to install an intertie. This intertie represents the last in a series needed to connect all the water utilities from the upper reaches of the San Lorenzo Valley Water District to the Central Water District.

<u>San Lorenzo Valley and Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin</u>: Water supply in the San Lorenzo Valley is provided through a combination of surface water intakes and groundwater wells that extract from the Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin. Private wells and the Scotts Valley Water District (SVWD) rely entirely on the Basin. The San Lorenzo Valley Water District (SLVWD) was severely impacted by the CZU fire in 2020 and has been relying more on groundwater while burned surface water intakes are replaced.

Overdraft in the Santa Margarita Basin underlying parts of San Lorenzo Valley and Scotts Valley has been manifested by a significant decline in groundwater levels and a reduction

YEAR 2025 GROWTH GOAL REPORT

in stream base flow over the past 40 years. Cooperative efforts by County staff, consultants, SLVWD, and the SVWD over the past several years have led to a better understanding of the water resources in the Santa Margarita Basin. Recent reductions in pumping due to successful water conservation programs have resulted in stabilized groundwater levels, which, despite showing some increase in 2019, are still 250 feet lower than they were in 1980 in some parts of the Basin.

In 2017, the two water districts and the County adopted a Joint Powers Agreement to form the Santa Margarita Groundwater Agency (SMGWA) which is tasked with developing a Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) to manage the basin under the SGMA. The City of Santa Cruz, Mount Hermon Association, City of Scotts Valley, and representatives of private well owners also have a seat on the Board that governs SMGWA. The SMGWA engaged a consultant to help with the development of the plan, including extensive modeling and technical analysis of groundwater levels and quality in the Basin. The GSP was approved by the Department of Water Resources in 2023.

The overdraft of this basin is currently being addressed in several ways. SVWD built a non-potable wastewater recycling plant in 2002 and has been working to expand the number of users of that reclaimed wastewater for irrigation. The use of treated wastewater for irrigation and landscaping offsets an equivalent amount of potable water pumping and therefore is a valuable component in the water supply portfolio. SLVWD is in the process of developing an Environmental Impact Report to pursue changes to their ability to use their surface water sources conjunctively. They are also pursuing a feasibility study to investigate how best to access an allotment of 313 acre-feet of water per year from Loch Lomond.

Water quality in the Santa Margarita Basin has been impacted by various contaminant sources including gas stations, dry cleaners, and septic systems. The occurrence of these contaminants in the groundwater supply puts some constraints on both the use of the impacted water as well as efforts to enhance groundwater storage. Most of the contaminated sites have been successfully remediated. The GSP outlines a framework to evaluate additional projects and management actions to improve the sustainability and resiliency of the Basin.

<u>Santa Cruz Mid-County Basin:</u> In the mid-county area, overdraft is manifested by groundwater levels below protective elevations necessary to prevent seawater intrusion, indications of seawater intrusion into parts of the aquifer systems, and the probable decline in stream base flows. Water is extracted from the mid-county area aquifers by SCWD, the Soquel Creek Water District (SqCWD), Central Water District (CWD), small public water systems (serving between five and 199 water connections), and individual users. Only the smaller CWD, located in the recharge area of one of these aquifers, appears to have sustainable groundwater supplies for its current customer base. Successful water conservation measures have improved groundwater elevations along the coast in recent years, despite instances of drought conditions.

In 2016, the SqCWD, CWD, County, and City of Santa Cruz adopted a Joint Powers Agreement to form the Santa Cruz Mid-County Groundwater Agency (MGA) for management of the Mid-County Basin under SGMA. Pursuant to SGMA, a GSP was submitted to the State Department of Water Resources in January 2020, which outlines

YEAR 2025 GROWTH GOAL REPORT

how the basin will reach sustainability by 2040. The GSP was approved in June 2021 and updated in 2024. The agency expects to reach sustainability through ongoing water conservation and implementation of several projects by the partner agencies. There is no current plan to curtail pumping in the basin. The County and water agencies have done numerous outreach activities to engage small public water systems and private well owners that also extract water from the basin.

One of the projects in the GSP is the Pure Water Soquel Project. This project will capture approximately 25% of the existing treated wastewater that is being discharged out into the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary and recycle it for beneficial reuse, providing 1,500 acre-feet of water per year. The project includes a tertiary treatment facility at the Santa Cruz Wastewater Treatment Facility, an advanced water purification center in Live Oak, over 8 miles of pipelines, and three seawater intrusion prevention wells. The purified water will replenish the groundwater basin, raise groundwater levels to create a seawater intrusion barrier. further and protect seawater contamination from Construction of the project commenced in July 2020 with the injection wells occurring. and May 2021 with the pipelines; the project will be operational by early 2025.

The MGA is wrapping up a grant to support groundwater management planning in the Basin including modeling, the results of which will provide support to both the Pure Water Soquel and SCWD ASR projects.

Groundwater quality impacts from contaminants have been minimal in the Mid-County area. There are several gas station leaks in this region, but none of the leaks has impacted major water supply wells. Groundwater from wells in the Aromas aquifer has been found to contain naturally occurring hexavalent chromium, a carcinogen, sometimes in excess of drinking water standards. SqCWD has addressed this issue by blending the affected water to bring it within drinking water standards. The state has recently approved a lower chromium standard, making continued use of this source potentially much more expensive due to treatment costs. This is going to have the greatest impact on small public water systems, which will be impacted by the standards but do not have a large rate-base to pay for treatment.

<u>Pajaro Valley Basin</u>: Overdraft in the south County aquifers is manifested by depressed water levels, and seawater intrusion. Water levels are below sea level under more than 60% of the basin, elevated chloride levels have been detected in wells near the Pajaro River more than two miles inland from the coast, and segments of Corralitos Creek are drying up earlier in the summer than in previous years. Eighty-five percent of the water use in the Pajaro Valley is by the agricultural industry.

The Pajaro Valley Water Management Agency (PV Water) and the City of Watsonville (CoW) completed construction of an advanced tertiary treatment facility that has been providing recycled water for irrigation in coastal areas since spring of 2009. PV Water operates a project at Harkins Slough that provides groundwater storage and recovery in the shallow aquifer in that area.

PV Water completed its Basin Management Plan update in 2014. The plan provides for reducing overall groundwater use by about 12,000 acre-feet through conservation, increased recycled water use, and implementation of several local projects to optimize

existing resources and provide increased supply. The Basin Management Plan was approved as an alternative to a GSP by the Department of Water Resources in July 2019, and PV Water worked with an advisory committee to submit a required update in December 2021.

Several of the projects outlined in the Plan have undergone environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Construction is progressing on the College Lake Integrated Resources Management Project. The project will develop facilities to use the lake water as an alternative to groundwater for agricultural irrigation. To do this, the proposed project would increase the storage capacity of the lake to approximately 1,700 acre-feet. On average, the Project will supply approximately 1,800 to 2,300 acre-feet per year of water to growers in the Pajaro Valley. The community is actively engaged in supporting these efforts. In addition to College Lake, the Watsonville Slough System Managed Aquifer Recharge and Recovery Project is moving forward as well.

The CoW provides municipal supply for the city and residential areas outside the city limits. The CoW has increased their water conservation programs and charges an impact fee for all new development to support those programs. The CoW also obtained a grant to upgrade treatment facilities to increase winter use of surface water from Corralitos Creek. The City's objective is to meet future development demands without increasing groundwater use.

Water quality in the South County area suffers from seawater intrusion and areas of nitrate contamination from agricultural practices, animal facilities, some areas of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) contamination, and septic systems.

County staff will continue to monitor and provide input to these various water supply and watershed enhancement efforts being carried out throughout the County and will keep the Board of Supervisors updated regarding their status when appropriate including the annual Water Status Reports. County staff also continues to implement and enforce the water efficient landscape ordinance, water conservation ordinance, and well ordinance, and implement new State requirements including SB 552.

#### Urban Services

The County continues to pursue a number of activities to improve its ability to provide services throughout the urbanized portions of the unincorporated area:

- Yearly adoption of the Capital Improvement Program, which identifies scheduled public service improvements (such as road, roadside, drainage, and park improvements) and provides a basis for development of the necessary financing programs.
- Street plan lines and route design concepts for arterial and collector streets in the urban areas, particularly in the Aptos, Live Oak, Soquel, and San Lorenzo Valley planning areas, which require an on-going effort to provide needed information for roadway design, capital improvement programming, and the review and conditioning of new projects.

#### Sanitation

In addition, the various County sanitation districts have made numerous sewer-related improvements over the years, including the projects described below:

- The Davenport Recycled Water Project was completed in 2018. The Davenport County Sanitation District upgraded the existing wastewater treatment plant and constructed a recycled water storage pond. The improvements make it possible for farmers, contractors, business owners, and residents to access disinfected tertiary-treated recycled water that can be used on crops, landscaping, construction sites, and other approved uses. The recycled water can be used to reduce use of potable water benefitting both the community and the environment.
- Currently, the Davenport County Sanitation District has secured a \$2.5-million grant from the Department of Water Resources and a \$357,000 grant from the Integrated Regional Water Management Foundation. These grant funds are being used to design and construct two new water tanks capable of storing 700,000 gallons of potable water and rehabilitating a 135,000-gallon raw water tank. These tanks will provide backup water storage for approximately eleven additional days for the community to use when their water source is unavailable (due to turbidity, low flows, or infrastructure damage). This water can also be used for fire protection. Construction of these projects is expected to be completed by the end of 2024.
- In 2019, the Santa Cruz County Sanitation District (District) completed a study regarding sewer line infiltration and inflow (I&I). The District has also video inspected over 90% of its sewer collection mains to identify deficiencies. The District is using the I&I study and video assessments to address areas where sewer lines are deteriorating and where stormwater and groundwater may be entering through cracks in the sewer lines. Having stormwater and groundwater in the sewer lines reduces the capacity of the lines which can hinder development. Recently completed projects to rehabilitate sewer mains with these issues include the 2019 Soquel Village Rehabilitation, Capitola Jewel Box Sewer Replacement, Capitola Avenue Sewer Rehabilitation, East Santa Cruz Harbor Sewer Rehabilitation, Rio Del Mar Sewer Rehabilitation, Cliff Drive Area Sewer Rehabilitation, 41<sup>st</sup> Avenue and Soquel Drive Sewer Rehabilitation, and Cabrillo Park Sewer Replacement Phase 1, 2021 Sewer Pipe Rehabilitation, Rio Sands Sewer Rehabilitation, and Rodriguez Street Sewer Rehabilitation projects were done to specifically address these issues. Projects currently under construction to improve sewer infrastructure include East Cliff Drive Sewer Rehabilitation, Arana Trunkline Replacement, Valencia Creek Sewer Replacement, and 2022 Sewer Pipe Rehabilitation. In the next couple of years additional large-, mid- and smallscale rehabilitation projects are planned to reduce I&I. Beyond improving capacity, addressing I&I and deteriorated sewer pipes benefit the environment as it reduces the amount of energy required to pump and treat wastewater, improves the environment in areas where wastewater may be leaking out of pipes and reduces the risks of sanitary sewer overflows.

YEAR 2025 GROWTH GOAL REPORT

- The Santa Cruz County Sanitation District has completed the Soquel Pump Station Force Main Replacement project which replaced a 40+ year-old sewer force main under Soquel Creek and upgraded the pump station. This project helps improve the water quality at Capitola Beach and increases the operational reliability of the pump station.
- A sewer connection moratorium exists for the Rodeo Gulch sewer basin. The Santa Cruz County Sanitation District has three projects planned that together will upgrade the trunk line and pump station to make it possible to lift the moratorium. The two trunkline projects will begin construction in late Summer 2023. The pump station upgrade is in the design phase and construction is scheduled to begin in late spring of 2024. The District anticipates these projects to be completed by the end of 2024.
- The Freedom County Sanitation District upgraded the Trembley Pump Station in 2019. The pump station is now more efficient and has more capacity to hold wastewater in the event that power is lost to the pumps.
- The Freedom County Sanitation District completed the Freedom Sewer Rehabilitation Phase 1 project which rehabilitated manholes and nearly 14,000 linear feet of sewer mains in the neighborhoods north of the Watsonville Airport, and at the northern end of Green Valley Drive with the help of a near \$9-million grant from the United States Department of Agriculture. The District has secured a \$6-million grant from the State Water Resources Control Agency for the second phase of rehabilitation work which will serve to rehabilitate sewers in additional neighborhoods east of Green Valley Drive. The rehabilitation will reduce I&I which is significant in this area and increase capacity in the sewer lines.
- Outside the Urban Services Line, but within the Rural Services Line, CDI completed sewer improvements in County Service Area (CSA) 2, Place De Mer. The CSA's septic system was failing, and an advance treatment septic system was required by the State Water Resources Control Board. The new system has been installed and will protect ground water quality in this coastal community.
- Outside the Urban Services Line, but within the Rural Services Area, CDI worked with a contractor to finalize reconstruction of the Boulder Creek wastewater treatment plant in County Service Area 7. The facility was damaged during the 2020 CZU Fire. Required improvements included repair or replacement of destroyed PG&E transformers, electrical power poles, electrical control panels, motor control center, blowers, generators, office building, piping, valves, and equipment to remotely monitor the wastewater system. The County has just secured a \$405,312 grant from the Integrated Water Management Foundation to help fund a project to increase the capacity of the wastewater treatment plant's equalization tank by 120%. The tank is failing and replacing it with a larger tank will improve operations and reduce the need for hauling during storm events.

#### Transportation

There has been a significant investment in new and expanded urban services infrastructure in the unincorporated area over the last 20 years, particularly through the

YEAR 2025 GROWTH GOAL REPORT

former County Redevelopment Agency. However, fully addressing the County's remaining urban service needs will require additional construction of infrastructure capital improvement projects throughout the urban area over an extended period of time.

There are also ongoing challenges to maintaining existing roadway and bridge infrastructure, resulting from the 2017, 2019, 2022, and 2023-24 winter storms that resulted in extensive damage to over 200 locations along County roadways and subsequent delays in receiving disaster relief funding from the Federal Emergency Management Agency. The infusion of state SB 1 funds and local Measure D funds will continue to help the County make progress on improving its pavement condition on publicly maintained roads.

Traffic congestion is a significant concern facing residents throughout the County. A number of planning efforts focused on improving the County's transportation infrastructure are currently underway. In 2018, the Board of Supervisors accepted the Portola Drive Streetscape Concepts, as a component of the Pleasure Point Commercial Corridor Vision and Guiding Design Principles. The Streetscape Concepts propose potential near-term and long-term improvements to a portion of the Portola Drive public right-of-way that is intended to benefit all users in the Pleasure Point Commercial Corridor, including pedestrians and bicyclists, local business owners, and residents. As a planning level document, the Streetscape Concepts would need to undergo design and engineering. The plan has been analyzed in the Environmental Impact Report for the Sustainability Policy and Regulatory Update (Sustainability Update).

The Sustainability Update, which was certified by the California Coastal Commission on March 15, 2024, include a new Access + Mobility Element as well as a modernized code that includes a new Parking and Circulation Chapter in the SCCC. The new Access + Mobility Element includes policies and programs that enhance multi-modal transportation infrastructure in order to implement the Sustainable Santa Cruz County Plan and address the County's current transportation challenges, as well as a list of transportation projects that can be incorporated into upcoming Capital Improvement Programs.

The County adopted an Active Transportation Plan in 2022 that replaces the 2011 Bicycle Plan and provides a roadmap for future improvements for walking and bicycling in unincorporated Santa Cruz County, including a robust list of infrastructure and program recommendations to improve safety and encourage walking and bicycling. The County previously adopted a Safe Routes to Schools Plan in 2020 which covers 17 County schools, and the recommended projects from the Safe Routes to Schools Plan are included in the Active Transportation Plan.

The Coastal Rail Trail is the County's largest active transportation project. When complete, it will provide a continuous 32-mile bicycle and pedestrian trail that runs the length of the County along the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line (SCBRL). Over 18-miles of trail are advancing through preconstruction or construction and the remaining approximately 13-miles of trail are beginning development as part of SCCRTC's Zero Emission Passenger Rail and Trail Project.

The County received a grant along with its partner agencies, the City of Watsonville, and the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC) from Caltrans to develop and implement a regional Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) mitigation bank. The bank would provide developers a means of mitigating their development with contributions to offsite projects that reduce VMT including active transportation, affordable housing, or transit projects. The grant project is expected to be completed by 2025.

In 2021 the County was successful in procuring \$16.5 million in state grant funds (Solutions for Congested Corridors Program) to construct the Soquel Drive Buffered Bike Lane and Congestion Mitigation Project. Construction of the project has begun and will provide multi-modal improvements to nearly 5.6 miles of Soquel Avenue and Soquel Drive between La Fonda Avenue and State Park Drive. Significant elements of this project include the installation of 10+ miles of continuous separated or buffered bike lanes, 22 signal detection system upgrades including adaptive traffic management software and transit signal priority, ½ mile of sidewalk infill, 100 ADA ramp upgrades, 5.6 miles of pavement resurfacing and 11 mid-block crosswalk upgrades with flashing beacons.

SCCRTC is continuing its State Highway 1 improvement program:

- The Soquel Drive and 41<sup>st</sup> Avenue Project includes construction of northbound and southbound auxiliary lanes between Soquel Drive and 41st Avenue, historically the busiest section of Highway 1 in the county, and a bike/pedestrian overcrossing at Chanticleer Avenue. The groundbreaking ceremony was held on April 19, 2023, and construction is expected to be completed in 2024.
- Bay Avenue/Porter Street and Park Avenue Project includes new auxiliary lanes from Park Avenue to State Park Drive, a new bike/pedestrian overcrossing at Mar Vista Drive, replacement of the Capitola Avenue bridge with a wider bridge that meets current standards and improves pedestrian and bicycle facilities. Construction on this project is expected to be completed in 2025.
- Freedom Boulevard and State Park Project includes auxiliary lanes and Bus on Shoulder from State Park to Freedom Boulevard and Coastal Rail Trail Segment 12 Project, Soquel Drive Multimodal improvements, and Rapid Bus Transit improvements. This project is currently in the preconstruction phase and funding for construction is being sought. As part of this project, the County has completed five miles of buffered bike lanes and is on target to complete its Soquel Drive project by April 2025.

In October 2023, the SCCRTC began to develop a Concept Report for the Zero Emission Passenger Rail and Trail Project to develop a project rail and trail build concept that will be advanced into subsequent project tasks. The Project proposes a new high-capacity passenger rail service and stations on approximately 22 miles of the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line (SCBRL) from the City of Santa Cruz to Pajaro. The project aims to provide passenger rail service to connect the most populated areas of Santa Cruz County to each other and to the greater region as well as to provide integrated intercity travel options for riders on the Central Coast. The Project will additionally provide 12 miles of bicycle and

YEAR 2025 GROWTH GOAL REPORT

pedestrian trail along the Coastal Rail Trail from Rio Del Mar Boulevard through the community of La Selva Beach and the City of Watsonville, as well as the Capitola Trestle reach.

The County is in the preconstruction phases for the Green Valley Road Multi-Use Trail Improvements project which will establish a two-mile-long multimodal corridor for bicycles, pedestrians, and transit, greatly improving mobility in an underserved community with a very high usage of these alternative modes of transportation. The project will construct a 10-foot wide bicycle and pedestrian pathway along Green Valley Road between Airport Boulevard and Mesa Verde Drive in unincorporated Watsonville.

To address infrastructure improvement needs, the County has replaced over 1,140 linear feet of culverts under County roadways, prioritizing areas in poor condition and prone to flooding.

### V. HOUSING NEEDS

#### 2023-2031 Housing Element

The County Board of Supervisors adopted the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle Housing Element, covering the years 2023-2031, on November 14, 2023, and it was certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) in April 2024. In the 2023 Housing Element update, objectives for each of the goals were modified as appropriate to specifically respond to the housing environment in Santa Cruz County from 2023-2031. Policies were also modified as needed to respond to current housing element law and existing and anticipated residential development conditions.

The updated Housing Element has the benefit of many new adopted policies and programs that support more robust housing development. Other projects, such as the "Sustainability Policy and Regulatory Update" (2022); the Public Facility, School Employee, and Farmworker Housing Ordinance (2018); the Permanent Room Housing Ordinance (2020); the Tiny Homes on Wheels Ordinance (2022); and the County's ADU regulations implement policies in the 2023 Housing Element.

#### **Regional Housing Needs Allocation**

Under California law, each jurisdiction's housing element must ensure adequate land is zoned and available to accommodate the jurisdiction's share of the projected regional housing need, or Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA). The locality's share of housing need is divided into distinct household income levels or categories based on detailed estimates of household growth within each income level estimated to occur in that locality during the housing element's time horizon, which is at least eight years long.

The State Department of Housing and Community Development develops and distributes the RHNA at a regional level; AMBAG then allocates the housing units among the jurisdictions in this area. The current RHNA Plan for the Monterey Bay region was adopted in November 2022. It allocates a goal of 4,634 new housing units to the unincorporated area of the County for the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle planning period from 2023 through 2031. Changes in state law led to a considerably higher RHNA compared to previous cycles. Santa Cruz County's RHNA was approximately 3.5 times higher than the 5<sup>th</sup> Cycle RHNA. These units are distributed between four income categories, as shown in Table 9 below.

| TABLE 9: HOUSING NEEDS ALLOCATION FOR UNINCORPORATED AREA |                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Income  | 2024-2031 RHNA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Low Income (<50% of County Median Income)            | 1,492 units    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low Income (51-80% of County Median Income)               | 976 units      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moderate Income (81-120% of County Median Income)         | 586 units      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Moderate Income (121% +)                            | 1,580 units    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL   | 4,634 units    |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Santa Cruz County had considerable success in meeting its housing needs allocation in the last RHNA cycle (2015 to 2023). The County permitted 1,185 housing units, which represented 90% of its RHNA. Of the units permitted, approximately 38% (446 units) were affordable to low to very low-income households and 62% (739 units) were affordable to moderate to above moderate-income households. Most notably, the County permitted approximately 125% of the required low-income units and approximately 96% of the required moderate-income units allocated in the 5<sup>th</sup> cycle. Additionally, the County permitted 93% of its above moderate-income and 59% of its very-low-income allocation.

## Affordable Housing

Chapter 17.01, the Growth Management provisions of the County Code, requires that "at least 15% of those housing units newly constructed for sale or rental each year shall be capable of purchase or rental by persons with average or below average incomes." Chapter 17.10 of the County Code, "Affordable Housing Requirements" contains the County's inclusionary requirements. It implements the 15% affordable requirement in the Growth Management chapter by establishing standard requirements and procedures for new for-sale residential projects of seven or more net new homes to meet the 15% affordable housing goal. It also requires developers of new rental projects to either pay an affordable housing impact fee or provide affordable rental units as an alternative to the fee. Chapter 17.12 includes the County's residential density bonus program, which also

helps generate affordable units toward the 15% affordability goal.

Table 10 shows the number and percentage of affordable housing units issued building permits in the unincorporated area since 1979 when Measure J was first implemented. Several of the affordable units in Table 10 were in publicly subsidized developments sponsored by the former County Redevelopment Agency, the Housing Authority, or in some cases after the 1989 earthquake, affordable projects built with Federal Emergency Management Agency and Red Cross disaster relief funds. While these are not called out separately, generally whenever the percentage of affordable units permitted in a given year is greater than 15%, this most likely reflects permits issued for one or more of these subsidized affordable projects (sometimes referred to as 100% affordable projects).

The County completed a review and nexus study of its Affordable Housing Policies and Guidelines in 2014, and as a result of that study, the Board of Supervisors directed staff to create an Affordable Housing Impact Fee (AHIF) program, which went into effect in August of 2015. The AHIF is applied to most types of new construction (except for public facilities such as schools and hospitals, and parking structures), including new commercial, industrial, and agricultural structures and additions, market-rate rental housing, new homes, and residential additions and/or replacements greater than 500 square feet) and is calculated on a per-square foot basis.

Residential subdivision or condominium projects of seven or more new units or lots must include 15% of the units as deed-restricted affordable units. As an alternative, developers of such projects may seek Board approval to pay the AHIF or pursue one of the other alternatives to providing on-site units set forth in Chapter 17.10. In late 2018, the Board adopted Ordinance 5286 amending Chapters 13.01, 13.10, 17.10 and 17.12 to better facilitate development of affordable housing through a number of programs, as part of the policy update known as the "Near Term Housing Amendments." These amendments included changes to existing programs, including R-Combining District regulations, a new discretionary approval requirement for applicants who want to utilize the AHIF program, and a new enhanced density bonus process that expanded existing density bonus regulations.

In 2024-2025, Planning staff will be working on a nexus study to analyze whether any changes to the 15% inclusionary rate are appropriate given current development conditions.

|                     | Total Units Issued              | Affordable Units           | LE HOUSING PRO   | % Affordable                       | % Affordable               |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Year                | Building Permits <sup>(1)</sup> | Issued Building<br>Permits | Building Permits | (Including<br>ADUs) <sup>(2)</sup> | (Does Not Include<br>ADUs) |
| 1979                | 741                             | 0                          |                  |                                    |                            |
| 1980                | 972                             | 62                         |                  | 6.4                                | 6.4                        |
| 1981                | 934                             | 251                        |                  | 26.9                               | 26.9                       |
| 1982                | 738                             | 235                        |                  | 31.8                               | 31.8                       |
| 1983                | 619                             | 52                         |                  | 8.4                                | 8.4                        |
| 1984                | 609                             | 129                        |                  | 21.2                               | 21.2                       |
| 1985                | 710                             | 61                         |                  | 8.6                                | 8.6                        |
| 1986                | 595                             | 98                         | 1                | 16.6                               | 16.5                       |
| 1987                | 606                             | 75                         | 0                | 12.4                               | 12.4                       |
| 1988                | 710                             | 23                         | 3                | 3.7                                | 3.2                        |
| 1989                | 420                             | 14                         | 0                | 3.3                                | 3.3                        |
| 1990                | 267                             | 9                          | 1                | 3.7                                | 3.4                        |
| 1991                | 173                             | 20                         | 1                | 12.1                               | 11.6                       |
| 1992                | 367                             | 209                        | 0                | 56.9                               | 56.9                       |
| 1993                | 149                             | 30                         | 1                | 20.8                               | 20.1                       |
| 1994                | 192                             | 24                         | 2                | 13.5                               | 12.5                       |
| 1995                | 152<br>145                      | 21<br>7                    | 8                | 19.1                               | 13.8                       |
| 1996<br>1997        | 203                             |                            | 6<br>14          | <u>9.0</u><br>9.9                  | 4.8                        |
| 1997                | 304                             | 6<br>29                    | 28               | <u> </u>                           | 9.5                        |
| 1998                | 217                             | 8                          | 26               | 15.7                               | 3.7                        |
| 2000                | 287                             | 80                         | 20               | 35.2                               | 27.9                       |
| 2000                | 190                             | 8                          | 15               | 12.1                               | 4.2                        |
|                     |                                 |                            |                  |                                    | 4.2                        |
| 2002                | 163                             | 79                         | 36               | 70.6                               |                            |
| 2003                | 231                             | 81                         | 17               | 42.4                               | 35.1                       |
| 2004                | 249                             | 28                         | 52               | 32.1                               | 11.2                       |
| 2005                | 261                             | 40                         | 56               | 36.8                               | 15.3                       |
| 2006                | 209                             | 71                         | 38               | 52.2                               | 34.0                       |
| 2007                | 110                             | 0                          | 40               | 36.4                               | 0.0                        |
| 2008                | 97                              | 3                          | 30               | 34.0                               | 3.1                        |
| 2009                | 60                              | 1                          | 22               | 38.3                               | 1.7                        |
| 2010                | 59                              | 0                          | 24               | 40.7                               | 0.0                        |
| 2011                | 141                             | 89                         | 18               | 75.9                               | 63.1                       |
| 2012                | 138                             | 64                         | 19               | 60.1                               | 46.4                       |
| 2013                | 75                              | 4                          | 29               | 44.0                               | 5.3                        |
| 2014                | 82                              | 2                          | 19               | 25.6                               | 2.4                        |
| 2015                | 60                              | 1                          | 29               | 50.0                               | 1.7                        |
| 2016                | 117                             | 66                         | 19               | 72.6                               | 56.4                       |
| 2010                | 104                             | 0                          | 28               | 26.9                               | 0.0                        |
| 2017                | 74                              | 1                          | 37               | 51.4                               | 1.4                        |
|                     | 74 78                           | 2                          | 29               |                                    |                            |
| 2019                |                                 |                            |                  | 39.7                               | 2.6                        |
| 2020                | 142                             | 16                         | 37               | 37.3                               | 11.3                       |
| 2021                | 133                             | 9                          | 63               | 54.1                               | 6.8                        |
| 2022                | 298                             | 173                        | 27               | 67.1                               | 58.1                       |
| 2023                | 145                             | 7                          | 85               | 63.4                               | 4.8                        |
| 2024 <sup>(3)</sup> | 81                              | 1                          | 63               | 79                                 | 1.2                        |
| Total               | 23,513                          | 2,189                      | 944              | 13.3                               | 9.3                        |

Note: Data shown only includes the unincorporated area of Santa Cruz County <sup>(1)</sup> Total number of market rate units, affordable/inclusionary units, and ADUs issued building permits (does not include replacement units). <sup>(2)</sup> Includes affordable units plus ADUs as a percent of total number of new units.

(3) As of July 1, 2024.

In late 2019, the Board adopted and obtained Coastal Commission approval of several ordinances and General Plan/LCP amendments to update County policies related to development of workforce rental housing for farmworkers, school employees, and others on properties in agricultural and public facility zones. These actions implemented several objectives in the Housing Element and in the County's Operational Plan.

Since Measure J was first implemented, 13% of new housing units constructed in the unincorporated portion of the county, including ADUs, have been affordable to lower- and moderate-income households (household incomes of 120% or less of the County's Area Median Income or "AMI"). When ADUs are not included, 9.3% of the new housing built in the unincorporated area since 1979 has consisted of affordable units.

To achieve its affordable housing goals, the County continues to exempt affordable housing units (including ADUs) from the County's growth management regulations. The development of affordable units is, therefore, not limited by the adopted Growth Goal. In addition, recently enacted state law (SB 330) precludes the County from imposing building permit limits on certain areas of the County identified by the State and updated periodically. Currently these areas include four census defined places (CDPs) in the county: Soquel, Live Oak, Pasatiempo, and Paradise Park CDPs.

## **Demolition Permits**

The demolition of existing housing also affects the county's housing stock and local market conditions. Demolition rates are particularly relevant to affordable housing options since demolished units are often older and likely to be more affordable compared to newly built units. In 2019, staff began tracking demolitions in the unincorporated area to provide a more complete picture of the county's current housing stock.

Table 11 shows the number of demolition permits issued starting on January 1, 2018. As of July 1<sup>st</sup> of this year, four units were issued demolition permits, including three single family dwellings (SFDs), and one mobile home (MH) located on a residential parcel. Overall, demolition rates in the County remain relatively low compared to the construction of new housing, and about half of all demolished units are subsequently replaced with new units. Replacement units are exempted from Measure J allocations and therefore are not tracked as part of this report.

| TABLE 11: RESIDENTIAL DEMOLITION RATE  |                                   |                       |                    |     |                   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year   | Total Units Issued                | Demolition Unit Types |                    |     |                   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rear   | Demolition Permits <sup>(1)</sup> | SFD                   | SFA <sup>(3)</sup> | ADU | MH <sup>(4)</sup> | MF <sup>(5)</sup> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018   | 26                                | 26                    | 0                  | 0   | 0                 | 0                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019   | 19                                | 19                    | 0                  | 0   | 0                 | 0                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020   | 5                                 | 4                     | 0                  | 1   | 0                 | 0                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021   | 4                                 | 2                     | 0                  | 2   | 0                 | 0                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022   | 18                                | 12                    | 0                  | 3   | 1                 | 2                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023   | 25                                | 17                    | 0                  | 7   | 1                 | 0                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2024 <sup>(2)</sup>  | 4                                 | 3                     | 0                  | 0   | 1                 | 0                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>(1)</sup> Data shown includes demolition permits issued for previously permitted residential units in the unincorporated area of Santa Cruz County. Demolition of unpermitted units not included. |                                   |                       |                    |     |                   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

<sup>(2)</sup> As of July 1, 2024.

<sup>(3)</sup> Single-Family Attached

(4) Mobile Homes

<sup>(5)</sup> Manufactured Homes

### **Current Pipeline of Subsidized Affordable Projects**

Since 2022, several new affordable housing projects were awarded state and/or federal subsidies to support their development. These projects, some of which are also listed in other tables in this report, are currently either under construction or scheduled to begin construction within several months. These projects and awards are listed in Table 12 below.

All of these projects include extremely low-income units, while the two tax credit projects also include some very low- and low-income units. The <u>Homekey</u> program is a new State program launched in 2021 to rapidly develop housing for homeless and at-risk households, either through new construction, acquisition/rehabilitation of existing structures, and/or conversion of hotels, motels, or other types of non-residential structures to rental housing. The conversion project option is similar in form to the County's Permanent Room Housing concept, but without requiring rezoning to Permanent Room Housing (PRH), or discretionary review.

| TABLE 1  | TABLE 12: SUBSIDIZED AFFORDABLE PROJECTS                         |                       |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project / Sponsor  | Recent Funding Award   | Current Status        | # of Units                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1500 Capitola Rd. / MidPen<br>Housing                                | 2021 Federal Disaster Tax<br>Credits for 2020 CZU Fire           | Completed             | 57                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| "Pippin II" 78 Atkinson Ln. /<br>MidPen Housing                      | 2021 Federal Disaster Tax<br>Credits for 2020 CZU Fire           | Under Construction    | 80                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8705 Hwy 9 / Santa Cruz<br>County Veterans Hall Board of<br>Trustees | State of CA Homekey<br>Round 2                                   | Permit Ready to Issue | 21 (11<br>Rehab + 10<br>New) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2838 Park Ave. / 2838 Park<br>Ave. L.P.                              | State of CA Homekey<br>Round 2                                   | Under Construction    | 36                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2716 Freedom Blvd. / CFSC.<br>Inc.                                   | Pending Award of Homekey<br>Round 3                              | Predevelopment        | 11                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41st Ave. & Soquel Dr./ Linc<br>Housing & Zen Development<br>LLC     | Pending Award of Tax-<br>Exempt Funds and County<br>Housing Loan | Predevelopment        | 286                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soquel Dr. & Thurber Ln./<br>Anton Thurber LLC                       | Pending Award of Tax-<br>Exempt Funds and County<br>Housing Loan | Predevelopment        | 173                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Permanent Room Housing (PRH) Projects

In 2020, the County created the Permanent Room Housing (PRH) Combining District to recognize the conversion of obsolete visitor accommodations and care facilities to housing units that are "affordable by design" due to their small unit size. The PRH Combining District creates a pathway for permanent housing to be legalized and upgraded as necessary to meet health and safety criteria. The district is available to any parcel in the county that meets eligibility criteria. Eight PRH applications were approved in 2020, with a total of 66 housing units. While no PRH project applications were received since, the Homekey project located at 8705 Highway 9 would have been a PRH project, if not for the review streamlining provided by the Homekey statute. A new Homekey project at 2716 Freedom Blvd. involving conversion of an existing vacant care facility into 11 studios to provide transitional housing for transition age youth is also similar to the PRH concept in design but will not require rezoning to PRH due to the Homekey streamlining if it receives a Homekey Round 3 award.

## Density Bonus Annual Report

As of July 1, 2024, two new applications were received for density bonus projects (41<sup>st</sup> Ave./Soquel Dr. and Soquel Dr./Thurber Ln.). Three additional density bonus projects were submitted as preapplications under Senate Bill (SB) 330 (3500 Paul Sweet Rd. and Graham Hill Rd.), as indicated Table 13 below.

To date, since the density bonus code update in 2018, a total of 10 density bonus projects have been approved: four in 2019, two in 2020, one in 2021, one in 2022, one in 2023, and one in 2024. Including proposals under preliminary review, the projects listed in Table 13 provide a total of 983 units, including 629 affordable units and 270 density bonus (27% of the total) units.

|                                     | Approval         | Ducient               | Total                 | Affo | rdable Units                              | Density        |                         |  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|---|----------------|-------------------------|--|
| Project                             | Approval<br>Date | Project<br>Type       | Units<br>Propos<br>ed | No.  | Level                                     | Bonus<br>Units | Status                  |  |
| Habitat for Humanity,<br>Harper St. | Jan-2019         | For-Sale              | 11                    | 11   | Low                                       | 1              | Completed               |  |
| Paul Minnie                         | Apr-2019         | Rental /<br>Mixed Use | 15                    | 2    | Very Low                                  | 4              | Plan Check              |  |
| MidPen Housing, 1500<br>Capitola Rd | Nov-2019         | Rental /<br>Mixed Use | 57                    | 56   | Extr. Low to<br>Low                       | 9              | In construction         |  |
| Pleasure Point Plaza                | Dec-2019         | Rental /<br>Mixed Use | 33                    | 4    | Very Low                                  | 12             | Entitled                |  |
| Soquel Townhomes                    | Jan-2020         | For-Sale              | 15                    | 2    | Mod                                       | 2              | Completed               |  |
| 432 Capitola Rd. Ext.               | Aug-2020         | Rental                | 7                     | 2    | Low                                       | 2              | Completed               |  |
| 3212 Mission Dr.                    | Apr-2021         | For-Sale              | 21                    | 3    | 2 Mod, 1 Very<br>Low                      | 5              | Plan Check              |  |
| 2450 Mattison Ln                    |                  | For-Sale              | 25                    | 4    | Mod                                       | 1              | Discretionary<br>Review |  |
| Monterey Ave/<br>Lorraine Ln.       | Mar-2023         | For Sale              | 6                     | 1    | Mod                                       | 1              | Entitled                |  |
| 3800 Portola Dr<br>(Lumberyard)     |                  | Rental /<br>Mixed Use | 18                    | 1    | Very Low                                  | 3              | Preliminary Revie       |  |
| 2838 Park Ave.<br>(Homekey)         |                  | Rental                | 36                    | 35   | Extr. Low                                 | 16             | In Construction         |  |
| 1574 7th Ave                        |                  | Rental /<br>Mixed Use | 9                     | 2    | Very Low                                  | 3              | Preliminary Reviev      |  |
| 1960 Maciel Ave.                    | Aug-2024         | For Sale              | 21                    | 3    | Mod                                       | 4              | Approved                |  |
| 2021 Chanticleer                    |                  | Rental                | 30                    | 3    | Very Low                                  | 10             | Preliminary Review      |  |
| 1231-1255 7 <sup>th</sup> Ave.      |                  | Rental                | 27                    | 2    | Very Low                                  | 6              | Preliminary Review      |  |
| 41st Ave. & Soquel Dr.              |                  | Rental                | 289                   | 286  | 107 Extr. Low,<br>11 Very Low,<br>168 Low | 167            | Preliminary Review      |  |
| Soquel Dr. & Thurber<br>Ln          |                  | Rental                | 173                   | 173  | TBD                                       | TBD            | Preliminary Review      |  |
| 3500 Paul Sweet Rd.                 |                  | For Sale              | 84                    | 17   | Low                                       | 24             | Preliminary Review      |  |
| Graham Hill Rd.                     |                  | For Sale              | 161                   | 33   | 7 Mod, 26 Low                             | 0              | Preliminary Review      |  |
| TOTAL *                             |                  |                       | 983                   | 629  |   | 270            |                         |  |

\*The Pippin II, an 80-unit affordable subsidized project, is not included on this list as it did not require a density bonus, due in part to the R-Combining Zone added to that site in 2007, which allows 20 units per acre.

Since the County last updated Chapter 17.12 in late 2018, the State has enacted multiple

new laws making further amendments to density bonus law, which in most cases preempt local codes, if in conflict.

### Accessory Dwelling Unit Annual Report

As a condition of the Coastal Commission's certification of the amendments to the County's ADU ordinance in 2009 (SCCC 13.10.681[K]), the County is required to prepare an annual report evaluating the cumulative impacts associated with ADUs in each planning area, particularly within the Coastal Zone. This analysis has traditionally been included as part of the annual Growth Report and is intended to provide a brief assessment of the cumulative impact of ADUs on traffic, water, public views, and environmentally sensitive areas.

The County first created a "second unit" (ADU) ordinance in 1983. In 1997, the Board of Supervisors adopted revisions to the ADU ordinance that included increased unit size limits in rural areas. In 2004, the Board adopted amendments to the ordinance to implement Assembly Bill (AB) 1866, eliminating the need for discretionary permits for ADUs in most cases. In 2008, the affordability restriction was lifted outside the Coastal Zone, and in 2009 this restriction was lifted inside the Coastal Zone, meaning that new and existing ADUs are no longer required to be rented at restricted rent levels. In 2016, the County undertook a study to understand the function of ADUs in the local housing market and to identify barriers that discourage new ADUs. In 2018, the ordinance was updated to incorporate recommendations from the study and comply with several state laws (SB 1069, AB 2299, AB 2406, SB 229, and AB 494). Additional state laws passed in 2019 (SB 13, AB 68, AB 587, AB 881, AB 671), 2020 (AB 3182), 2021 (AB 345), 2022 (AB 2221, SB 897), and in 2023 (AB 477, AB 1033, A B976, and AB 671) led to additional updates to local regulations in 2020 and 2021. Updates have included allowing ADUs anywhere single- or multifamily residential land use is allowed; removing discretionary requirements for ADUs; shortening the ADU building permit review period to 60 days; establishing regulations for Junior ADUs; easing development standards such as unit size, setbacks and parking; revising the owner occupancy requirement; removing the requirement for fire sprinklers for many cases; reducing fees for ADUs; allowing for separate sale of ADUs by non-profit organizations, and introducing a five-year ADU code enforcement amnesty program. Additional updates are planned for late 2024/early 2025.

Major barriers to ADU construction include permit and construction costs as well as property owner unfamiliarity with the project planning, design, and construction process. Over the years, the County has developed various programs to address these barriers, including the ADU Forgivable Loan Program, the three year My House My Home partnership with Habitat for Humanity, reduced ADU permit fees and a fee waiver program for small ADUs beyond what is required by state law. Staff has also prepared a suite of resources on the County's ADU website. In 2022, the Board of Supervisors decided to end the ADU Forgivable Loan Program due to low performance, and in its place the County is developing a technical assistance program to aid property owners with ADU project planning, design, and construction.

|                       | TABLE 14: TOTAL ADUS ISSUED BUILDING PERMITS<br>IN UNINCORPORATED COUNTY BY PLANNING AREA SINCE 2010 |            |            |            |            |     |            |            |                   |                   |            |            |            |            |                           |       |
|-----------------------|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----|------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|-------|
| Planning<br>Area      | <mark>'1</mark> 0  | <b>'11</b> | <b>'12</b> | <b>'13</b> | <b>'14</b> | ʻ15 | <b>'16</b> | <b>'17</b> | <mark>'1</mark> 8 | <mark>'1</mark> 9 | <b>'20</b> | <b>'21</b> | <b>'22</b> | <b>'23</b> | <b>'24</b> <sup>(1)</sup> | TOTAL |
| Aptos                 | 1  | 2          | 2          | 2          | 1          | 1   |            | 1          | 3                 | 8                 | 4          | 18         | 10         | 11         | 9                         | 73    |
| Aptos Hills           | 6  | 2          | 4          | 8          | 1          | 4   | 2          | 6          | 6                 |                   | 1          | 1          | 1          | 6          | 1                         | 48    |
| Bonny Doon            | 3  | 1          | 2          | 1          |            | 2   |            | 1          | 2                 | 2                 | 1          | 4          | 5          | 2          |                           | 26    |
| Carbonera             | 2  | 6          | 3          | 2          | 1          | 6   |            | 4          | 3                 | 3                 | 1          | 6          | 4          | 3          | 2                         | 46    |
| Eureka<br>Canyon      | 1  | 2          | 1          | 2          | 2          | 2   | 1          |            | 2                 | 2                 | 3          | 1          |            | 2          | 1                         | 22    |
| La Selva              |  |            |            | 1          |            |     |            |            |                   |                   |            | 1          |            |            |                           | 2     |
| Live Oak              | 2  | 2          |            | 5          | 6          | 6   | 7          | 10         | 4                 | 8                 | 19         | 22         | 22         | 30         | 25                        | 168   |
| North Coast           |  | 1          |            | 1          |            |     |            |            |                   |                   |            | 1          |            | 2          |                           | 5     |
| Pajaro<br>Valley      | 3  |            | 1          | 1          | 2          | 1   | 2          | -          | 4                 | 1                 |            | 3          | 5          | 9          | 6                         | 38    |
| Salsipuedes           |  |            | 1          | 1          | 2          |     |            | 2          | 2                 |                   |            | 1          |            | 1          |                           | 10    |
| San Andreas           |  |            |            |            |            |     |            |            | 1                 | 1                 |            |            | 1          | 2          | 3                         | 8     |
| San Lorenzo<br>Valley | 1  | 1          |            |            | 1          | 2   | 4          | 1          | 4                 | 2                 | 4          | 3          | 6          | 2          | 3                         | 34    |
| Skyline               |  |            | 1          |            |            |     | 1          | 2          |                   | 1                 | 1          |            |            |            |                           | 6     |
| Soquel                |  | 1          | 2          | 4          | 2          | 1   | 1          |            | 3                 | 1                 | 2          | 2          | 2          | 10         | 4                         | 35    |
| Summit                | 5  |            | 2          | 1          | 1          | 4   | 1          | 1          | 3                 |                   | 1          |            | 2          | 5          |                           | 26    |
| TOTAL                 | 24   | 18         | 19         | 29         | 19         | 29  | 19         | 28         | 37                | 29                | 37         | 63         | 58         | 85         | 53                        | 547   |

Updates to the County's ADU ordinance and incentive programs are expected to make ADUs more feasible and appealing to County homeowners, and County staff are already seeing an uptick in ADU applications. As Table 14 indicates, after a decrease in permit applications following the 2008-10 recession, application rates for ADUs have steadily increased in recent years, including a significant jump in 2021 with 63 building permits issued for ADUs in the unincorporated area. As of July 1, of this year, 53 ADU permits have already been issued, which is up significantly from 27 at the same time last year, and almost surpassing the total of 58 issued building permits for ADUs in the unincorporated area to date.

In order to further reduce barriers to ADU production, the Community Development and Infrastructure Department (CDI) partnered with a design consultant to create three preapproved sets of ADU architectural plans that are available to applicants on the ADU website free of charge. There are three sizes, each with three design options. Also, designers and pre-fabrication manufacturers have the option to obtain pre-approval for ADU designs that they intend to use for multiple projects, 3 such approvals were given as of July 1, 2024. Additionally, in December 2022, an ordinance addressing the use of tiny homes on wheels, which can be used as ADUs, was adopted.

## ADUs in the Coastal Zone

ADUs have been built primarily in rural, non-coastal areas. Recently revised regulations, particularly the removal of a minimum parcel size for an ADU permit and the reduction in required parking in certain cases, could contribute to increased production overall and within the Coastal Zone. Future ADU permit data will indicate what type of latent demand exists for ADUs inside the Coastal Zone and might show a change in development trends.

|                          | TABLE 15: TOTAL COASTAL ZONE ADUS ISSUED BUILDING PERMITS<br>IN UNINCORPORATED COUNTY BY PLANNING AREA SINCE 2005 |                          |                          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          |       |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--------------------------|-------|
| General<br>Plan<br>Area  | 10  | <b>11</b> <sup>(1)</sup> | <b>12</b> <sup>(1)</sup> | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | <b>24</b> <sup>(2)</sup> | TOTAL |
| Aptos                    |   | 1                        | 1                        | 1  |    |    |    |    | 2  | 6  | 2  | 12 | 8  | 7  | 9                        | 49    |
| Aptos Hills              |   |                          |                          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          | 0     |
| Bonny<br>Doon            | 2   | 1                        | 1                        |    |    | 1  |    | 2  | 2  |    | 1  | 2  |    | 1  |                          | 13    |
| Carbonera                |   |                          |                          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          | 0     |
| Eureka<br>Canyon         |   |                          |                          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          | 0     |
| La Selva                 |   |                          |                          | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1  |    |    |                          | 2     |
| Live Oak                 |   | 1                        |                          | 3  | 4  | 3  | 4  | 2  | 2  | 4  | 9  | 11 | 12 | 18 | 18                       | 91    |
| North Coast              |   | 1                        |                          | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1  |    | 2  |                          | 5     |
| Pajaro<br>Valley         |   |                          |                          |    |    |    |    | -  |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          | 0     |
| Salsipuedes              |   |                          |                          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          | 0     |
| San<br>Andreas           |   |                          |                          |    |    |    |    |    | 1  | 1  |    |    | 1  | 2  | 3                        | 8     |
| San<br>Lorenzo<br>Valley |   |                          |                          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          | 0     |
| Skyline                  |   |                          |                          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          | 0     |
| Soquel                   |   |                          |                          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          | 0     |
| Summit                   |   |                          |                          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          | 0     |
| TOTAL                    | 2   | 4                        | 2                        | 6  | 4  | 4  | 4  | 4  | 7  | 11 | 12 | 27 | 21 | 30 | 30                       | 168   |

<sup>(1)</sup> Unknown Planning Area for one ADU in 2011 and two ADUs in 2012. ADU total by year is accurate but Planning Area totals are incomplete for these years.

<sup>(2)</sup> As of July 1, 2024

Since 2010, a total of 168 building permits have been issued for ADUs within the Coastal Zone within the unincorporated area. The County has seen a gradual increase in the development of ADUs near the coast in recent years, with approximately 31% of all ADU permits issued in the Coastal Zone in the past 14 years. The County has seen a significant jump in issued ADU permits over all starting in 2021, with another significant increase in 2024, where 30 permits in the Coastal Zone have been issued in the first six months,

YEAR 2025 GROWTH GOAL REPORT

matching the total number of permits issued in all of 2023. Given the relatively small number of building permits issued for ADUs in the Coastal Zone, it is likely that there have been limited cumulative impacts to traffic, water, public views, and environmentally sensitive areas, if any, in the Coastal Zone. Some of these potential impacts have been analyzed in aggregate in Section IV, Potential Growth Impacts above. County staff will continue maintain a close review of ADUs in the Coastal Zone, particularly as local and state regulations continue to encourage greater development of ADUs throughout the County.

# VI. GROWTH GOAL RECOMMENDATION

### **Growth Goal**

The Board of Supervisors adopted a 0.5% growth rate for the 2024 and 2023 Growth Goals. A 0.25% growth goal was adopted for 2022 and 2021, 0.5% was adopted in each of the years from 2001-2020, and a rate of 0.75% was adopted for 1999 and 2000 during the "dot-com" boom period of the late 1990s.

Table 10 provides insight into the overall building permit activity in the unincorporated area. Building permit activity of all types remained at a fairly high annual rate until late 2006, when there was a slowdown that continued through 2007 and became much slower during the 2008-10 recession and its aftermath. Between 2011 and 2012, and again in 2016, increases in residential building permit activity occurred primarily as a result of permits for affordable units. Market rate permit activity (not including permits for ADUs and affordable units) remained consistently low from 2007 to 2016.

In 2023, permit activity decreased to 145 issued permits, including 85 ADUs. The growth goal still provided an ample number of allocations, resulting in an unused surplus of allocations carried over to 2024, culminating to 222 carryover allocations for 2024. Since 2004, the number of available allocations derived from the established growth rate has been more than sufficient to accommodate the demand for residential building permits.

As of July 1, 2024, there have been a total of 81 permits issued for housing units this year, including rural, urban, and exempt units (including 63 ADUs).

In consideration of the information analyzed in the above sections of this report, staff recommends that the population growth rate be set at 0.75% for calendar year 2025 Growth Goal.

Recent population estimates have shown a negative growth rate for the unincorporated area. However, Census data indicates that the County's population has actually grown over the past decade, and AMBAG projections show this steady increase is likely to continue. In addition, the number of housing projects currently in the County's permitting pipeline and on the planning horizon, the adoption of the Sustainability Update and the 2023 Housing Element, and continued legislation from the state, as well as the elevated permit activity seen in recent years, point to a potentially increased demand for market rate permits that may continue in coming years. Therefore, setting a growth rate of 0.75% for the year 2025 would allow for Santa Cruz County to continue to provide its fair share of statewide population growth and would not constitute a limitation on providing much

needed housing units.

## Housing Crisis Act of 2019

In October 2019, Governor Newsom signed into law SB 330. This bill establishes a statewide housing emergency and creates new state laws focused on the production and preservation of housing. SB 330 also adds Section 66300: Housing Crisis Act of 2019 to the Government Code to help address the statewide housing shortfall. These laws will be in effect from January 1, 2020, to January 1, 2030 (extended from 2025 by SB 8).

The Housing Crisis Act of 2019 implements new protections for existing housing as well as new restrictions on local government actions that may reduce the availability of housing. A key provision of this law prevents jurisdictions from limiting the number of housing permits or population within "affected county areas." Affected county areas are defined as Census Designated Places (CDPs) that lie wholly within Census-defined urbanized areas. In Santa Cruz County, this includes the following CDPs: Live Oak, Pasatiempo, Paradise Park, and Soquel.

In accordance with the Housing Crisis Act of 2019, Santa Cruz County will continue to not enforce the Measure J growth goal limit on residential permit allocations within affected county areas while this temporary statute is in place. Other aspects of Measure J unrelated to limiting residential building permit allocations will not be impacted by this bill, and staff will continue to track Measure J allocations and subsequent building permit issuance in these areas for reporting purposes.

## CZU Lightning Complex

The CZU August Lightning Complex Fires that began in August 2020 resulted in an unprecedented level of destroyed and damaged structures within unincorporated Santa Cruz County. The total number of unpermitted residential units impacted by the fires remains unknown; however, it is likely that a significant number of unpermitted housing units may have been destroyed or damaged by the fires. All residential units impacted by the CZU August Lightning Complex Fires continue to be exempt from the Measure J residential permit allocation system to ensure that the County's growth management measures do not unnecessarily burden County residents who were impacted by this disaster. Note that issued building permits for CZU impacted properties may be included in the total number of new units shown in Table 10 if no existing permit was found in the County's records. However, similar to affordable units and ADUs, issued permits for CZU properties remain exempt from the allocation system and are recorded in Table 10 for reporting purposes only.

## **Building Permit Allocations**

Each year, the population growth rate established for the coming year is converted into a maximum number of available allocations to be granted to residential building permits in the unincorporated area for that year. The 2025 Growth Rate was formulated based on DOF population estimates and the total number of moderate and above moderate income housing units needed pursuant to the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle RHNA, which identified a need for 2,166 moderate and above moderate housing units for the unincorporated areas of the County. It is anticipated that 50 housing allocations will be granted in 2024, therefore 2,116 units

will need allocations for the remainder of the 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle (2025-2031). As such, an annual average of 361 building permit allocations must be made available for the next six years.

The Growth Rate of 0.75% is recommended to ensure that sufficient allocations are available to meet the RHNA target. Table 16 shows the methodology by which the recommended 0.75% population growth rate for 2025 would be converted into a total of 373 residential building permit allocations.

| TABLE 16: BUILDING PERMIT ALLOCATION CALC  | ULATION                      |
|--|------------------------------|
| BASED ON A 0.75% ANNUAL POPULATION GROWTH R  | ATE FOR 2025                 |
| Estimated Total Household Population 1/1/24 (1)  | 125,203                      |
| Estimated Group Quarters Population 1/1/24 <sup>(1)</sup>  | 12,248                       |
| Estimated Total Population 1/1/24 <sup>(1)</sup>   | 127,451                      |
| Approved 2024 Growth Goal Rate   | 0.50%                        |
| Projected 1/1/25 Household Population (based on a 0.50% growth rate from 1/1/24)                                       | 125,829                      |
| Proposed 2025 Growth Goal Rate   | 0.75%                        |
| Projected 1/1/26 Household Population<br>(based on the proposed 0.75% growth rate from projected 1/1/25<br>population) | 126,773                      |
| Projected Household Population Increase During 2025  | 944                          |
| Estimated Persons Per Household (1/1/24) <sup>(1)</sup>  | 2.53                         |
| Projected New Housing Units (market rate) needed during 2025   | 373                          |
| (1) Source: DOF E-5 City/County Population and Housing Estimates, 1/1/2024 for unincorpo 2024)                         | rated Santa Cruz County (May |

## **Allocation Carryover**

SCCC Chapter 17.04 directs any unused allocations from the previous year to "roll forward" and be made available for the following year in order to remove unnecessary constraints to the development of housing. Pursuant to this policy, the total number of available allocations in 2025 will include the projected carryover allocations from 2024 for a total of 579.

| TABLE 17: RECOMMENDED 2025 ALLOCATION DISTRIBUTION   |                                      |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Area   | 2025 Market Rate Unit<br>Allocations | Total 2025<br>Allocations <sup>(1)</sup> |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban  | 280                                  | 167                                      | 447 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural  | 93                                   | 39                                       | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total  | 373                                  | 206                                      | 579 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Per the Housing Crisis Act of 2019, the Measure J growth management system will not be enforced in affected county areas for the years 2020-2030. (See Housing Crisis Act of 2019 section above for more information.) <sup>(1)</sup> Projected year-end allocations available based on continuation of allocation distribution rate for first six months. |                                      |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In recent years, allocations have been divided into a 75-25% (urban-rural) ratio to recognize the potential for greater infill development in the urban area. Staff recommends continuing this ratio, as illustrated in Table 17. This division implements the ordinance requirement of encouraging growth in urban areas and discouraging growth in the rural areas.

### **Rural Land Divisions**

County Code Chapter 14.04 limits the number of new residential parcels that can be created in the rural portion of the County to 35% of the number of rural residential allocations granted each year. Based on the recommended 93 rural allocations, a limit of 33 new rural residential parcels could be created. No new rural lots were approved in 2023, or as of July 1, 2024. As the number of new rural residential parcels has not exceeded the yearly limitation for more than a decade, no further action is recommended for the control of rural land divisions.

### VII. CONCLUSION

Since 1979, when Measure J was first implemented, the County has established an annual population growth goal that represents Santa Cruz County's fair share of statewide population growth. Each year a growth rate is set and then converted into a maximum number of residential building permit allocations to be granted for the coming year. Given the population growth trends estimated for the unincorporated area of Santa Cruz County in recent years and the potential future increase in demand for residential building permits, County Planning staff recommends that the growth rate be set at 0.75% for calendar year 2025.

Under the 0.75% recommended growth rate along with the estimated 206 carryover allocations from 2024, a maximum of 579 residential Measure J allocations could be allotted in the unincorporated area of Santa Cruz County: 447 in the urban area and 132 in the rural area. As stated previously, in accordance with the Housing Crisis Act of 2019 (SB 330), Santa Cruz County will not enforce the Measure J growth goal limit on residential permit allocations within the following CDPs while the statute is in place: Live Oak, Pasatiempo, Paradise Park, and Soquel.

Consistent with the County General Plan, the unused allocations from 2024 will be carried over and added to the 2025 allocation. Based on the number of allocations that have been granted as of July 1 of this year, it is projected that the combined new and carryover allocations will result in 579 total urban and rural market rate allocations, which is expected to be sufficient to accommodate the demand for residential building permits in the coming year.

#### VIII. REFERENCES

Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, 2045 Metropolitan Transportation *Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, Appendix A: 2022 Regional Growth Forecast*, Monterey, California, 15 June 2022.

Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, 6<sup>th</sup> Cycle Regional Housing Needs Allocation Plan: 2023-2031, Monterey, California, 12 October 2022.

County of Santa Cruz, *Santa Cruz County Code: Volume II – Land Use Code*, Santa Cruz, California.

County of Santa Cruz, 2024 General Plan and Local Coastal Program Land Use Plan for the County of Santa Cruz, California, Santa Cruz, California, 18 March 2024.

State of California, Department of Finance, *E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State, January 2021-2024, with 2020 Benchmark.* Sacramento, California, May 2024.

U.S. Census Bureau. Population estimates 1960-2020.