

## Nathan MacBeth

---

**From:** Bob Zollars <bob.zollars@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2022 9:29 AM  
**To:** Nathan MacBeth  
**Subject:** Item# 1. 201334

\*\*\*\*CAUTION:This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email.\*\*\*\*

Nathan

We wanted to let you know as the neighbor probably most impacted by this proposed construction, we are fully supportive. We have reviewed the plans and think this addition will improve the overall neighborhood aesthetics and will be good for the County.

We appreciate your consideration and are hopeful for a speedy approval.

Sincerely,

Bob and Patsy Zollars  
22810 East Cliff Drive

## Nathan MacBeth

---

**From:** Michael Guth <mguth@guthpatents.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 2, 2022 6:33 PM  
**To:** Nathan MacBeth  
**Subject:** Comment and Question re: East Cliff Drive project - hearing 11/4

**\*\*\*\*CAUTION:**This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email. **\*\*\*\***

Hi Nate,

I have reviewed the project application package for application 201334. It appears that there is not enough information in the package to determine how the 100 year geologic setback has been calculated. The geo report is not in the staff report or its attachments.

In the absence of details about calculation the package does not provide enough information for an administrator to review this project; also, it does not provide the public adequate information. Even in the absence of the entire geologic report, the staff report should be highlighting what assumptions were used in this calculation.

As you know, whether or not such an analysis relies on coastal armoring, or not, to determine a setback is of high importance. Whether or not such reliance has been made, and discussion of this issue, simply must be present in the record available for public review. Given the project just upcoast (Application No. 211155) now having gone into litigation for reasons similarly related to coastal armoring code interpretation, it is important for the public to know how the County is reviewing projects such as these with regard to 100 year setback calculations.

**Please add these comments to the official record.**

Summary of my position: This project cannot be approved based upon the present record (insufficient detail in the record).

Thank you,

Mike

--

Yours Sincerely,  
Michael A. Guth  
Attorney at Law  
(831) 462-8270