

211316
LATE MAIL 1

Evan Ditmars

From: Cove Britton <cove@matsonbritton.com>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 3:56 PM
To: Jeff Nolan; Matt Johnston; Jocelyn Drake; Evan Ditmars
Cc: Manu Koenig; Andy Schiffrin; Jamie Sehorn; Erik Zinn; Elizabeth Mitchell; Reiana Mayorga; Greg Sanders; Jason Heath; Richard J. Irish
Subject: 266 Cliff Court
Attachments: kzstff.pdf

******CAUTION:**This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email.****

Dear Mr. Nolan:

I am the applicant for 266 Cliff Court and also represent the owners for property owners below.

Please clarify your recent comments regarding Cliff Court as the County Geologist.

You appear to state that landslides from Cliff Court are not a life safety risk and not an imminent threat?

As said, please clarify and provide substantiation.

You also appear to claim that the proposed pin pier wall is an engineered shoreline protection structure?

However it appears that you do not believe the purpose that the pin piers are engineered for, mitigating a life safety risk, is what it is providing? I.e. that the pin pier walls are engineered to be shoreline protection structures but do not mitigate the hazards for the homes below.(BTW obviously the property owners of 266 Cliff Court can only mitigate the hazards originating from this property, something you ignore repeatedly to the detriment of basic professional competence).

As said, please clarify and provide substantiation.

Regardless, I would like to inform the home owners below 266 Cliff Drive that your professional opinion is that their homes are not in imminent threat from a landslide and it is not a life safety risk per your opinion.

However I would also like to inform them of your opinion that the proposed pin pier wall is an engineered shoreline protection, yet you support that it not be approved because ...it is a protection shoreline protection structure.

It is a perplexing contradiction unless I am misunderstanding your opinion.

Regardless, it appears you are supporting to deny a structure that will greatly assist the homes mitigating a life safety hazard. I say that as a professional and I ask you, if you cannot answer anything else in a clear manner. Do you disagree with my statement?

I am deeply concerned by what appears to be completely irresponsible comments unless I am misunderstanding them.

Please, as said, clarify.

--

Cove Britton
Matson Britton Architects

O. (831) 425-0544

211316
LATE MAIL 2

Evan Ditmars

From: Erik Zinn <enzinn@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 3, 2023 12:05 PM
To: Jeff Nolan
Cc: Matt Johnston; Jocelyn Drake; Evan Ditmars; Manu Koenig; Andy Schiffrin; Jamie Sehorn; Erik Zinn; Elizabeth Mitchell; Reiana Mayorga; Greg Sanders; Jason Heath; Richard J. Irish; Cove Britton
Subject: Re: 266 Cliff Court

******CAUTION:**This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email.****

Mr. Nolan, would the proposed pin pier wall on the Kozlowski property lower the risk related to future debris flows for the residences below on Beach Drive?

Erik N. Zinn
State of California Professional Geologist License #6854
State of California Certified Engineering Geologist License #2139
Pacific Crest Engineering
444 Airport Blvd. Suite 106
Watsonville, CA 95076
Office: 831.722.9446
Cell: 831.334.4833
email: erik@pacengineering.net

On Mon, Jul 31, 2023 at 3:57 PM Cove Britton <cove@matsonbritton.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Nolan:

I am the applicant for 266 Cliff Court and also represent the owners for property owners below.

Please clarify your recent comments regarding Cliff Court as the County Geologist.

You appear to state that landslides from Cliff Court are not a life safety risk and not an imminent threat?

As said, please clarify and provide substantiation.

You also appear to claim that the proposed pin pier wall is an engineered shoreline protection structure?

However it appears that you do not believe the purpose that the pin piers are engineered for, mitigating a life safety risk, is what it is providing? I.e. that the pin pier walls are engineered to be shoreline protection structures but do not mitigate the hazards for the homes below.(BTW obviously the property owners of 266 Cliff Court can only mitigate the hazards originating from this property, something you ignore repeatedly to the detriment of basic professional competence).

As said, please clarify and provide substantiation.

Regardless, I would like to inform the home owners below 266 Cliff Drive that your professional opinion is that their homes are not in imminent threat from a landslide and it is not a life safety risk per your opinion.

However I would also like to inform them of your opinion that the proposed pin pier wall is an engineered shoreline protection, yet you support that it not be approved because ...it is a protection shoreline protection structure.

It is a perplexing contradiction unless I am misunderstanding your opinion.

Regardless, it appears you are supporting to deny a structure that will greatly assist the homes mitigating a life safety hazard. I say that as a professional and I ask you, if you cannot answer anything else in a clear manner. Do you disagree with my statement?

I am deeply concerned by what appears to be completely irresponsible comments unless I am misunderstanding them.

Please, as said, clarify.

--

Cove Britton
Matson Britton Architects

O. (831) 425-0544