

# HEART OF SOQUEL COMMUNITY MEETING October 26, 2010 Table report backs

#### TABLE 1

- Provide a strong pedestrian access to creek
- Keep vehicles away from Heart of Soquel
- Provide a better mix of businesses to attract more people
- Traffic circulation should be consolidated
- No amphitheater, do not like Plaza element from Option 1
- Less cars / more natural "open to creek"

#### TABLE 2

- Provide adequate parking for events or overflow parking
- Make sure the project addresses lighting (well-lit) for safety
- Provide restrooms

#### TABLE 3

- Favors amphitheater over the gazebo option
- Provide more open area, less programmed space, no to bocce court
- No Conceptual Design #2 parking
- Really like pedestrian alley from Soquel
- Need "drop-off" space for park visitors, or events
- Extend path to elementary school property

### TABLE 4

- Move Bocce court to Porter property
- Not in favor of community gardens
- What are the materials for paths, make environmentally and aesthetically pleasing
- Provide bike path connection to creek path
- Prefer Option 3 alley / pedestrian path

#### TABLE 5

- Make the project pedestrian friendly / attractive
- Really like the Outdoor dining
- In favor of Events
- No community gardens or bocce
- Include a small play structure for little kids for "stroller moms"
- Please include habitat restoration
- Merge access road with creek path (Option 1 or 3)
- Use natural materials where ever possible

#### TABLE 6

- Provide additional parking
- Make sure to keep the project pedestrian access friendly and inviting
- Address/Include historic richness (opportunities to teach)
- Add restrooms
- In favor of community events
- In favor of amphitheater from Option 1
- Concerned about safety and maintenance
- Provide or connect project to path behind elementary school

## TABLE 7

- In favor of amphitheater not the gazebo
- Like the vision of seating area facing creek/path
- No Conceptual Design #2 travel flow
- In favor of bocce court
- Like pedestrian access from Soquel on Conceptual Design #3
- Local art on walls
- No picnic tables
- Open visually across site
- Make sure to provide good lighting

## TABLE 8

- Like pedestrian access from Soquel on Conceptual Design #3
- Like pedestrian access from Porter on Conceptual Design #2
- Not in favor of bocce or group picnic area
- Amphitheater should face creek
- Prefer Option #2 parking
- Access path along creek (combined with trail)
- Use of Porter property for gatherings
- Examine circulation across Porter
- Safety a major concern

## TABLE 9

- Conceptual Design #3 parking / maintain view to creek
- Community events provide bus to site
- Like Pedestrian access on Conceptual Design #3/Soquel Dr.
- Keep gazebo
- Move bocce court to Porter property
- Add play structure for kids
- Provide restrooms

# TABLE 10

- Not in favor of bocce or community garden
- Make sure to provide strong access for pedestrians
- Provide historical museum
- Provide restrooms
- Make Façade improvements that offer a historic feel (local architecture)
- Provide small play area
- Split as a table on favoring amphitheater

## TABLE 11

- Walk property to evaluate options
- Exercise stations on creek path
- Other comments similar to previous reports

## TABLE 12

- Conceptual Design Option 3 best
- Conceptual Design Option 2 has too much parking
- Like amphitheater or bandstand Options
- No double wide vehicle ramp
- Prefer Pedestrian access on Conceptual Design #3/Soquel Dr.
- Prefer Pedestrian access on Conceptual Design #2 Porter St
- No water feature
- Drive-by mailbox
- Use rocks / boulders where homeless may camp